

**ENEE 420
FALL 2007
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS**

DATA COMPRESSION:

Finite sources _____

Let \mathcal{X} denote a finite set, hereafter called the alphabet, and we refer to an element x of \mathcal{X} as a symbol. A probability mass function (pmf) $\mathbf{p} = (p(x), x \in \mathcal{X})$ on \mathcal{X} is any collection of scalars indexed by \mathcal{X} such that

$$0 < p(x) \leq 1, x \in \mathcal{X} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) = 1.$$

A source is simply a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{p})$ where \mathcal{X} is a finite alphabet and \mathbf{p} is a pmf on \mathcal{X} . It is sometimes convenient to refer to such a source by the notation $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{p})$ where the \mathcal{X} -valued random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$p(x) = \mathbb{P}[X = x], \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

In short, we can think of $p(x)$ as the likelihood that the source generates symbol x .

Divergence _____

The divergence between the pmfs \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} on \mathcal{X} is defined by

$$D(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{q}) := - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right).$$

The basic bound

$$D(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{q}) \geq 0$$

holds with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$.

Entropy _____

For pmf \mathbf{p} on \mathcal{X} , its (binary) entropy is defined by

$$H_2(\mathbf{p}) := - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x).$$

The basic bounds

$$0 \leq H_2(\mathbf{p}) \leq \log_2 |\mathcal{X}|$$

holds, and we have

1. The lower bound is achieved if and only if the pmf \mathbf{p} is degenerate, i.e.,

$$H_2(\mathbf{p}) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if } p(x) = 1 \quad \text{for some } x \in \mathcal{X};$$

2. The upper bound is achieved if and only if the pmf \mathbf{p} is the uniform pmf on \mathcal{X} , i.e.,

$$H_2(\mathbf{p}) = \log_2 |\mathcal{X}| \quad \text{if and only if } p(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Compression codes

Let \mathcal{B}^* denote the collection of all binary words, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^n.$$

A binary compression code, hereafter simply a code, for a \mathcal{X} -valued source is any mapping

$$C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*.$$

For each x in \mathcal{X} , $C(x)$ is known as the codeword associated with x under C . It is customary to refer to the collection $\{C(x), x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ of all codewords as the codebook for C , and to identify it with C .

Some terminology: A code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is said to be

1. non-singular if $C(x) \neq C(y)$ for any pair of distinct symbols x, y in \mathcal{X} ;
2. uniquely decipherable if the equality

$$C(x_1) \dots C(x_n) = C(y_1) \dots C(y_m)$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ in \mathcal{X} implies

$$n = m \quad \text{and} \quad x_j = y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

3. prefix (or to have the prefix property) if for any symbol x in \mathcal{X} , no prefix of $C(x)$ is a codeword for some other symbol in \mathcal{X} .

Prefix codes are also known as instantaneous codes. We denote the collection of all prefix codes by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Pref}}$.

Length of codes

Given a code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$, let $\ell_C(x)$ denote the length of the binary codeword $C(x)$ associated with the symbol x in \mathcal{X} . Given a source $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{p})$, the expected codeword length of a code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is given by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} L(C; \mathbf{p}) &:= \mathbb{E}[\ell_C(X)] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \ell_C(x) p(x). \end{aligned}$$

Kraft Inequality

For any prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{-\ell_C(x)} \leq 1.$$

Conversely, for any collection $(\ell(x), x \in \mathcal{X})$ of positive integers such that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{-\ell(x)} \leq 1,$$

there exists a prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ such that

$$\ell_C(x) = \ell(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Shannon encoding

Set

$$\ell_{\text{SH}}(x) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)} \rceil, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Since $2^{\log_2 t} = t$ for all $t > 0$, we find

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{-\ell_{\text{SH}}(x)} &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{-\log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)}} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{\log_2 p(x)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and there exists a prefix code $C_{\text{SH}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ such that

$$(3) \quad \ell_{C_{\text{SH}}}(x) = \ell_{\text{SH}}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Any code satisfying (3) is known as **Shannon encoding**.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L(C_{\text{SH}}; \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \ell_{\text{SH}}(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(\log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)} + 1 \right) \\ &= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \\ (4) \quad &= H_2(\mathbf{p}) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Shannon encoding comes from within one bit of source entropy!

Average code length and entropy

Consider a prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$. Introduce the pmf \mathbf{q}_C on \mathcal{X} given by

$$q_C(x) = \frac{2^{-\ell_C(x)}}{\Sigma(C)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

where

$$\Sigma(C) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 2^{-\ell_C(x)}.$$

We have

$$(5) \quad L(C; \mathbf{p}) - H_2(\mathbf{p}) = D(\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{q}_C) + \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{\Sigma(C)} \right)$$

so that

$$L(C; \mathbf{p}) \geq H_2(\mathbf{p})$$

since $D(\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{q}_C) \geq 0$ and $\Sigma(C) \leq 1$ by Kraft inequality. Equality holds if and only if $D(\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{q}_C) = 0$ and $\Sigma(C) = 1$. In other words, equality holds if and only if there exists positive integers $(n(x), x \in \mathcal{X})$ such that

$$p(x) = 2^{-n(x)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

A proof of (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
L(C; \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \ell_C(x) p(x) \\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 (2^{-\ell_C(x)}) \\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 \left(\frac{2^{-\ell_C(x)}}{\Sigma(C)} \cdot \Sigma(C) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 \left(\frac{q_C(x)}{p(x)} \cdot p(x) \Sigma(C) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{q_C(x)}{p(x)} \right) + \log_2 p(x) + \log_2 \Sigma(C) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 \left(\frac{q_C(x)}{p(x)} \right) - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x) - \log_2 \Sigma(C).
\end{aligned}$$

Source coding Theorem (Shannon 1948)

The bounds

$$(6) \quad H_2(\mathbf{p}) \leq L_{\min}(\mathbf{p}) \leq H_2(\mathbf{p}) + 1$$

hold where

$$L_{\min}(\mathbf{p}) := \min (L(C; \mathbf{p}) : C \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Pref}}).$$

Moreover,

$$L_{\min}(\mathbf{p}) = H_2(\mathbf{p})$$

if and only if there exists positive integers $(n(x), x \in \mathcal{X})$

$$p(x) = 2^{-n(x)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The more likely the symbol, the shorter its description

Consider a prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$. Define a new code $C' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ as follows:

Pick distinct x and y in \mathcal{X} , and set

$$C'(z) = \begin{cases} C(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \\ C(y) & \text{if } z = x \\ C(x) & \text{if } z = y \end{cases}$$

Obviously,

$$\ell_{C'}(z) = \begin{cases} \ell_C(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \\ \ell_C(y) & \text{if } z = x \\ \ell_C(x) & \text{if } z = y \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} L(C; \mathbf{p}) - L(C'; \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \ell_C(z) p(z) - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \ell_{C'}(z) p(z) \\ &= (\ell_C(x) p(x) + \ell_C(y) p(y)) - (\ell_C(y) p(x) + \ell_C(x) p(y)) \\ &= (\ell_C(x) - \ell_C(y)) p(x) + (\ell_C(y) - \ell_C(x)) p(y) \\ &= (\ell_C(x) - \ell_C(y)) (p(x) - p(y)). \end{aligned}$$

In short, if $p(y) < p(x)$, then $L(C; \mathbf{p}) \leq L(C'; \mathbf{p})$ if and only if $\ell_C(x) \leq \ell_C(y)$.

Reduction step behind Huffman encoding

Consider a code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ with the following property: There exist distinct symbols x and y in \mathcal{X} such that their codewords differ only in their last bit, i.e., for some $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$C(x) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad C(y) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell, 0)$$

with b_1, \dots, b_ℓ in $\{0, 1\}$.

With the source $X = (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{p})$, we associate a new source $X' = (\mathcal{X}', \mathbf{p}')$ as follows: The new alphabet \mathcal{X}' is obtained by combining the two symbols x and y , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{X}' := (\mathcal{X} - \{x, y\}) \cup \{\star\}$$

where \star denotes the new symbol obtained by combining x and y . Next, the pmf \mathbf{p}' on \mathcal{X}' is naturally derived from \mathbf{p} , namely

$$p'(z) = \begin{cases} p(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \\ p(x) + p(y) & \text{if } z = \star. \end{cases}$$

With C we now associate a new code $C' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ for this new source $X' = (\mathcal{X}', \mathbf{p}')$ given by

$$C'(z) = \begin{cases} C(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \\ (b_1, \dots, b_\ell) & \text{if } z = \star. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\ell_{C'}(z) = \begin{cases} \ell_C(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \\ \ell & \text{if } z = \star. \end{cases}$$

With these definitions,

$$\begin{aligned} L(C', \mathbf{p}') &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}'} \ell_{C'}(z) p'(z) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X} - \{x, y\}} \ell_{C'}(z) p'(z) + \ell_{C'}(\star) p'(\star) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X} - \{x, y\}} \ell_C(z) p(z) + \ell (p(x) + p(y)) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X} - \{x, y\}} \ell_C(z) p(z) + \ell p(x) + \ell p(y) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X} - \{x, y\}} \ell_C(z) p(z) + (\ell_C(x) - 1) p(x) + (\ell_C(y) - 1) p(y) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \ell_C(z) p(z) - (p(x) + p(y)). \end{aligned}$$

In short,

$$(7) \quad L(C', \mathbf{p}') = L(C, \mathbf{p}) - (p(x) + p(y)).$$

Properties of optimal prefix codes

For notational convenience, assume that the symbols in the alphabet \mathcal{X} are labeled so that

$$p(M) \leq p(M-1) \leq \dots \leq p(2) \leq p(1)$$

with $|\mathcal{X}| = M$.

1. If a (prefix) code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is optimal, then necessarily

$$\ell_C(1) \leq \ell_C(2) \leq \dots \leq \ell_C(M-1) \leq \ell_C(M)$$

2. If the prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is optimal, then necessarily

$$\ell_C(M-1) = \ell_C(M)$$

3. The optimal prefix code $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ can always be selected so that $C(M-1)$ and $C(M)$ differ only in the last bit, i.e., if $C(M-1) = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$ and $C(M) = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ where $\ell = \ell_C(M-1) = \ell_C(M)$, then

$$a_k = b_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, \ell - 1.$$
