# ENEE 420 FALL 2008

#### **COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS**

# **QUANTIZATION**

Throughout, let X stand for a scalar rv taking values in the interval I := (A, B] for some finite scalars A < B. We denote by F its probability distribution function, so that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X \le x\right] = F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We shall assume that F admits a probability density function f, so that

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le A \\ \int_A^x f(t)dt & \text{if } A < x \le B \\ 1 & \text{if } B < x. \end{cases}$$

#### **Ouantizers** \_

A quantizer Q with M levels for the interval (A, B] (or interchangeably, for any rv X distributed on the interval (A, B]) is characterized by a collection of M contiguous sub-intervals or cells partioning (A, B], say  $I_1, \ldots, I_M$ , and a collection of representation levels  $q_1, \ldots, q_M$ , one to represent each of the intervals. The partitioning constraints amounts to

$$I_m := (A_m, B_m], \quad m = 1, \dots M$$

with the notation

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = A; \\ A_{m+1} = B_m, \ m = 1, \dots, M - 1; \\ B_M = B. \end{cases}$$

We also require

$$q_m \in I_m, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Often we shall denote such a quantizer Q by

$$Q \equiv (I_1, \dots, I_M; q_1, \dots, q_M).$$

At times it will also be convenient to think of this quantizer as a mapping  $Q:I\to I$  given by

$$Q(x) = q_m$$
 if  $x \in I_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ .

## Uniform quantizers \_\_\_\_

A quantizer is said to be *uniform* on the interval (A, B] if its cells all have the *same* lengths and the representatives are *equidistant*. This uniquely determines the quantizer  $Q^u = (I_1^u, \ldots, I_M^u; q_1^u, \ldots, q_M^u)$ , hereafter referred to as the *uniform* quantizer for the interval (A, B], with

$$B_1^u - A_1^u = B_2^u - A_2^u = \dots = B_M^u - A_M^u$$

and

$$q_m^u = \frac{A_m^u + B_m^u}{2}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Indeed, each interval must have length  $\frac{B-A}{M}$  with

$$A_m^u = A + (m-1) \cdot \frac{B-A}{M}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

and

$$B_m^u = A + m \cdot \frac{B - A}{M}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

so that

$$q_m^u = \frac{A^u m + B_m^u}{2} = A + \frac{2m-1}{2} \cdot \frac{B-A}{M}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

#### **Measuring distortion** \_\_\_\_

If X is the variable to be quantized, then the quantization error or quantization noise under the quantizer Q is given by

$$\varepsilon(Q;X) := Q(X) - X.$$

With the quantizer Q we associate the distortion measure

(1) 
$$\Phi(Q;F) := \mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon(Q;X)|^2\right]$$

as a way to assess how well the quantized version Q(X) of X approximates X.

We define the signal-to-quantization-noise ratio (SQNR) associated with the quantizer Q as the ratio

$$SQNR(Q; X) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}{\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon(Q; X)|^2]}.$$

In selecting a quantizer for the rv X it should be intuitively clear that a large value for SQNR(Q; X) is desirable.

# The quantization problem

Fix some positive integer  $M \geq 2$ . Given the rv X distributed over the interval I, we are interested in minimizing the distortion measure (1) over all possible quantizers with M levels for the interval I.

For any such quantizer  $Q \equiv (I_1, \dots, I_M; q_1, \dots, q_M)$ , we note that

$$\Phi(Q; F) = \mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon(Q; X)|^2\right] 
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varepsilon(Q; x)|^2 f(x) dx 
= \int_{A}^{B} |Q(x) - x|^2 f(x) dx 
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |Q(x) - x|^2 f(x) dx 
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx.$$
(2)

Thus, with quantizer Q characterized by cells  $I_1, \ldots, I_m$  and representation levels  $q_1, \ldots, q_M$ , we shall write

$$\Phi(Q;F) = \Phi_F(I_1,\ldots,I_M;q_1,\ldots,q_M)$$

with

(3) 
$$\Phi_F(I_1, \dots, I_M; q_1, \dots, q_M) = \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx.$$

Given cells  $I_1, \ldots, I_M$ 

We start with contiguous cells  $I_1, \ldots, I_M$  partitioning I, and focus on the following minimization problem: Find the representation levels  $q_1, \ldots, q_M$  which minimize

$$\Phi_F(I_1,\ldots,I_M;q_1,\ldots,q_M)$$

under the constraints

$$q_m \in I_m, \ m = 1, \dots, M.$$

The expression (3) is *separable* in the variables  $q_1, \ldots, q_M$  and the constraints on them. As a result, the original minimization problem can be solved by solving each of the following M sub-problems. Indeed,

$$\min\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx, \ q_m \in I_m, \ m = 1, \dots, M\right)$$

$$(4) \qquad = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \min\left(\int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx, \ q_m \in I_m\right).$$

With this in mind, fix m = 1, ..., M. We now seek to minimize

$$\int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx$$

under the constraint

$$q_m \in I_m$$
.

The solution is straightforward: We note that

$$\int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx$$

$$= q_m^2 \int_{A_m}^{B_m} f(x) dx - 2q_m \int_{A_m}^{B_m} x f(x) dx + \int_{A_m}^{B_m} x^2 f(x) dx.$$

This quadratic form in the variable  $q_m$  is minimized at  $q_m^{\star}$  given by

$$q_m^{\star} = \frac{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} x f(x) dx}{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} f(x) dx}.$$

This can be seen by a completion-of-square argument, or by taking the derivative with respect the variable  $q_m$  and setting it equal to zero: Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dq_m} \left( \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx \right)$$

$$= q_m \int_{A_m}^{B_m} f(x) dx - \int_{A_m}^{B_m} x f(x) dx$$

$$= 0$$

and the value for  $q_m^{\star}$  follows. It is easy to see that

$$A_m \leq q_m^{\star} \leq B_m$$

and the candidate solution  $q_m^{\star}$  obtained by unconstrained minimization is an element of  $I_m$ , as required.

Thus,

$$\min\left(\int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m - x|^2 f(x) dx, \ q_m \in I_m\right) = \int_{A_m}^{B_m} |q_m^* - x|^2 f(x) dx.$$

# Given representation levels $q_1, \ldots, q_M$

This time we are given M distinct representation levels in I, say  $A < q_1 < \ldots < q_M < B$ , and we focus on the following minimization problem: Find the cells  $I_1, \ldots, I_M$  which minimize

$$\Phi_F(I_1,\ldots,I_M;q_1,\ldots,q_M)$$

under the constraints

$$I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_M = (A, B]$$

and

$$q_m \in I_m, \ m = 1, \dots, M.$$

In contrast with the problem discussed in the previous section, this minimization problem is no more separable. However, a careful inspection of the expression (3) suggests that the intervals

$$I_m^* := \left\{ x \in (A, B] : |x - q_m|^2 \le |x - q_k|^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, M \atop k \ne m \right\}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

constitute the solution. Before giving a proof of this assertion, it is worth pointing out that for distinct  $\ell$  and m, the inequality

$$|x - q_m|^2 \le |x - q_\ell|^2$$

occurs if and only if

$$(q_m - q_\ell)(2x - (q_\ell + q_m)) \ge 0.$$

Thus, if  $q_m < q_\ell$  (resp.  $q_\ell < q_m$ ), then (7) holds provided  $x \leq \frac{q_m + q_\ell}{2}$ . Similarly, if  $q_\ell < q_m$ , then (7) holds provided  $x \geq \frac{q_m + q_\ell}{2}$ . A moment of reflection shows that the sets  $I_1^\star, \ldots, I_m^\star$  are indeed *intervals*, say of the form

$$I_m^{\star} = (A_m^{\star}, B_m^{\star}], \quad m = 1, \dots$$

with

$$A_m^* = B_{m-1}^* = \frac{q_{m-1} + q_m}{2}, \quad m = 2, \dots, M$$

To establish the optimality of the intervals  $I_1^{\star}, \dots, I_M^{\star}$ , we proceed as follows: Recall that for any function  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ , the linearity of the intergral operation gives

$$\int_{I} g(x)dx = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} g(x)dx$$

for any partition  $I_1, \ldots, I_m$  of the interval I. Now, from the definition of the interval  $I_m^*$ , we get

$$|x - q_m|^2 = \min_{k=1,\dots,M} |x - q_k|^2, \quad x \in I_m^*$$

for each m = 1, ..., M. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_F(I_1, \dots, I_M; q_1, \dots, q_M) - \Phi_F(I_1^{\star}, \dots, I_M^{\star}; q_1, \dots, q_M) \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{I_m} |x - q_m|^2 f(x) dx - \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{I_m^{\star}} |x - q_m|^2 f(x) dx \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{I_m} |x - q_m|^2 f(x) dx - \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{I_m^{\star}} \left( \min_{k=1, \dots, M} |x - q_k|^2 \right) f(x) dx \end{split}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The boundary points

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} |x - q_{m}|^{2} f(x) dx - \int_{A}^{B} \left( \min_{k=1,\dots,M} |x - q_{k}|^{2} \right) f(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} |x - q_{m}|^{2} f(x) dx - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} \left( \min_{k=1,\dots,M} |x - q_{k}|^{2} \right) f(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left( \int_{I_{m}} |x - q_{m}|^{2} f(x) dx - \int_{I_{m}} \left( \min_{k,\dots,M} |x - q_{k}|^{2} \right) f(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} \left( |x - q_{m}|^{2} - \left( \min_{k=1,\dots,M} |x - q_{k}|^{2} \right) \right) f(x) dx$$

$$(8) \geq 0.$$

### An iterative process

The developments of the two previous sections suggest an *iterative* approach to solving the quantization problem.

We start with a quantizer  $Q \equiv (I_1, \dots, I_M; q_1, \dots, q_M)$ . Fixing the intervals  $1, \dots, I_M$ , we replace  $q_1, \dots, q_M$  by the midpoints  $q_1, \dots, q_M$  of these intervals.

# The uniform case

The rv X is uniformly distributed on I if its probability density function f is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le A \\ \frac{1}{B-A} & \text{if } A < x \le B \\ 0 & \text{if } B < x. \end{cases}$$

In that case, for each m = 1, ..., M, we get

$$q_m^{\star} = \frac{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} \frac{x}{B-A} dx}{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} \frac{1}{B-A} dx}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} x dx}{\int_{A_m}^{B_m} dx}$$

(9) 
$$= \frac{B_m^2 - A_m^2}{2(B_m - A_m)}$$
$$= \frac{A_m + B_m}{2}$$

and  $q_m^{\star}$  is the mid-point of the interval  $I_m$ .

# A classical calculation of the signal-to-quantization-noise ratio \_

Consider the uniform quantizer  $Q^u = (I_1^u, \dots, I_M^u; q_1^u, \dots, q_M^u)$ . For each  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , whenever x lies in the interval  $I_m^u$ , we have

$$\varepsilon(Q^u; x) = Q^u(x) - x = q_m^u - x$$

and  $q_m^u$  being the midpoint of the interval  $I_m^u$ , it follows that

$$|x - q_m^u| \le \frac{B - A}{2M}.$$

As a result, the rv  $X - Q^{u}(X)$  takes values in the symmetric interval

$$J := \left[ -\frac{B-A}{2M}, \frac{B-A}{2M} \right].$$

It is easy to see that if the density f is sufficiently smooth and M is sufficiently large,<sup>2</sup> then the probability distribution of the rv  $X - Q^u(X)$  is well approximated by the uniform distribution on the interval J. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon(Q^{u};X)|^{2}\right] = \int_{-\frac{B-A}{2M}}^{\frac{B-A}{2M}} t^{2} f_{\varepsilon_{Q^{u}}(X)}(t) dt$$

$$\simeq \int_{-\frac{B-A}{2M}}^{\frac{B-A}{2M}} \frac{t^{2}}{\frac{B-A}{M}} dt$$

$$= \frac{M}{B-A} \cdot \left[\frac{t^{3}}{3}\right]_{-\frac{B-A}{2M}}^{\frac{B-A}{2M}}$$

$$= \frac{2M}{3(B-A)} \cdot \left(\frac{B-A}{2M}\right)^{3}$$
(10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>As the calculations given at the end of the writeup show, these conditions have to be read to saying that the staircase approximation of f anchored at the points  $A+m\frac{B-A}{M}$ ,  $m=0,1,\ldots,M-1$  is indeed a (reasonably) good approximation of f.

so that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\varepsilon(Q^u;X)|^2\right] \simeq \frac{1}{12} \cdot \left(\frac{B-A}{M}\right)^2.$$

Finally,

(11) 
$$\operatorname{SQNR}(Q^{u}; X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^{2}]}{\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon(Q^{u}; X)|^{2}]} \simeq 12 \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^{2}]}{(B - A)^{2}} \cdot M^{2}.$$

# Companding - Non-uniform quantizers through composition \_

With  $\tilde{A} < \tilde{B}$ , define the interval  $\tilde{I} = (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}]$ . Assume given a continuous mapping  $\Phi : I \to \tilde{I}$  which is *strictly monotone increasing* with

$$\tilde{A} = \Phi(A)$$
 and  $\tilde{B} = \Phi(B)$ .

Thus,  $\Phi$  puts the intervals I and  $\tilde{I}$  into *one-to-one* correspondence. The case of interest is when  $\Phi$  is *non-*linear.

Let X denote a rv with a non-uniform distribution on the interval I. With  $\widetilde{X} := \Phi(X)$ , the rv  $\widetilde{X}$  is distributed on the interval  $\widetilde{I}$ . We shall quantize its samples by means of the uniform quantizer for the interval  $\widetilde{I}$ , namely

$$\widetilde{Q}^u \equiv (\widetilde{I}_1^u, \dots, \widetilde{I}_M^u; \widetilde{q}_1^u, \dots, \widetilde{q}_M^u)$$

with

$$\widetilde{I}_m^u = (\widetilde{A}_m^u, \widetilde{B}_m^u], \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

and representation levels

$$\widetilde{q}_m^u, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

This uniform quantizer  $\widetilde{Q}^u$ , through the intermediary of  $\Phi$ , produces a *non-uniform* quantizer Q for X by setting

$$Q(x) := \Phi^{-1}\left(\widetilde{Q}^u(\Phi(x))\right), \quad x \in I.$$

This procedure is known as *companding*, an abbreviation for *comp*ressing followed by expanding.

It is easy to check that this procedure indeed defines a quantizer Q for the interval I with cells  $I_1, \ldots, I_M$  and representation levels  $q_1, \ldots, q_M$  given by

$$I_m := \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{I}_m^u), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

and

$$q_m := \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{q}_m^u), \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

The interval  $I_m$  is of the form  $(A_m, B_m]$  with endpoints

$$A_m = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{A}_m^u)$$
 and  $B_m = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{B}_m^u)$ .

In short,

$$Q(x) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{q}_m^u), \quad x \in I_m, \ m = 1, \dots, M.$$

The function  $\Phi$  is selected so as to capture key features of the distribution of X, e.g., its skewness. This is done by trial and error, by using functions that belong to well structured classes of functions. This approach obviates the need to solve the quantization problem, usually a difficult task, either directly or through the iterative procedure outlined earlier. While companding may yield a sub-optimal quantizer (with respect to the mean-square distortion metric used earlier), its robustness and ease of implementation are traded for acceptable performance.

#### The $\mu$ and A-laws $\_$

In practice the interval I=(A,B] is symmetric with respect to the origin with A=-B for B>0, the interval  $\widetilde{I}$  coincides with I and the compressor is an odd monotone increasing and continuous function  $\Phi:I\to I$  with

$$\Phi(-x) = -\Phi(x), \quad |x| \le B$$

and

$$\Phi(\pm B) = \pm B$$
.

Companding has been deployed in telephone networks as part of the PCM format. Two standards have emerged: The  $\mu$ -law is used in the U.S, Canada and Japan, while the A-law has been adopted in Europe. They are briefly discussed below.

With  $\mu > 0$ , the  $\mu$ -law corresponds to the mapping  $\Phi_{\mu} : [-B,B] \to [-B,B]$  given by

(12) 
$$\Phi_{\mu}(x) = B \cdot \frac{\ln\left(1 + \mu \frac{|x|}{B}\right)}{\ln(1 + \mu)} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(x), \quad |x| \leq B$$

For  $\mu = 0$ , we find  $\Phi_{\mu}(x) = x$  on the interval [-B, B] and companding reduces to uniform quantization on I.

With A>1, the A-law is defined through the mapping  $\Phi_A:[-B,B]\to [-B,B]$  given by

(13) 
$$\Phi_{A}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{A}{1+\ln A} \cdot |x| \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(x) & \text{if } \frac{|x|}{B} \leq \frac{1}{A} \\ B\frac{1+\ln(A\frac{|x|}{B})}{1+\ln A} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{A} \leq \frac{|x|}{B} \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

with A > 1. The value A = 1 yields  $\Phi_A(x) = x$  on the interval [-B, B], in which case companding reduces to uniform quantization on I.

# Approximating the probability density function of the quantizatio noise under a uniform quantizer

Pick t in the interval J. By standard probabilistic arguments,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\varepsilon(Q^{u};X) \leq t\right] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[X \in I_{m}^{u}, \varepsilon(Q^{u};X) \leq t\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[X \in I_{m}^{u}, Q^{u}(X) - X \leq t\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[X \in I_{m}^{u}, q_{m}^{u} - X \leq t\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[X \in I_{m}^{u}, q_{m}^{u} - t \leq X\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{m}^{u} < X \leq B_{m}^{u}, q_{m}^{u} - t \leq X\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left[q_{m}^{u} - t \leq X \leq B_{m}^{u}\right] \\
= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{q_{m}^{u} - t}^{B_{m}^{u}} f(x) dx$$
(14)

as we have used the fact that  $q_m^u$  is the midpoint between  ${\cal A}_m^u$  and  ${\cal B}_m^u$  (which are

themselves  $\frac{B-A}{M}$  apart of each other), so that

$$A_m^u < q_m^u - t, \quad t \in J.$$

If the probability density function f of X is sufficiently smooth and M is sufficiently large, then the approximation

$$f(x) \simeq f(q_m^u), \quad x \in I_m^u, \ m = 1, \dots, M$$

is likely to hold since each of the intervals  $I_1^u, \ldots, I_M^u$  is small. Reporting this fact into the result of the earlier calculations we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\varepsilon(Q^{u};X) \leq t\right] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{q_{m}^{u}-t}^{B_{m}^{u}} f(x)dx$$

$$\simeq \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{q_{m}^{u}-t}^{B_{m}^{u}} f(q_{m}^{u})dx$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m}^{u}) \left(B_{m}^{u} - (q_{m}^{u} - t)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m}^{u}) \left(\frac{B-A}{2M} + t\right)$$
(15)

since

$$B_m^u - q_m^u = \left(A + m \cdot \frac{B - A}{M}\right) - \left(A + \frac{2m - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{B - A}{M}\right)$$

$$= \frac{B - A}{2M}.$$
(16)

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\varepsilon(Q^{u};X) \leq t\right] \simeq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m}^{u})\right) \cdot \left(\frac{B-A}{2M} + t\right) \\
= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m}^{u}) \frac{B-A}{M}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{M}{B-A} \cdot t\right) \\
\simeq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{M}{B-A} \cdot t, \quad t \in J.$$
(17)

The last step leading to (17) relies on the approximation argument used earlier but in the following reversed way: We see that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_m^u) \frac{B - A}{M} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_m^u} f(q_m^u) dx$$

$$\simeq \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_m^u} f(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{I} f(x) dx$$

$$= 1$$
(18)

as we recall that a probability density function integrates to unity.

It is now straightforward to see that (17) is the probability distribution function of a rv which is uniformly distributed on J.

# **SQNR** under companding \_

Let Q denote the non-uniform quantizer obtained by companding through the compressor  $\Phi: (A,B] \to (\tilde{A},\tilde{B}]$ .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varepsilon(Q;X)^{2}\right]\right] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} (Q(x) - x)^{2} f(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{I_{m}} (q_{m} - x)^{2} f(x) dx$$

$$\simeq \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m}) \int_{I_{m}} (q_{m} - x)^{2} dx$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(q_{m})$$
(19)

since

$$\int_{I_m} (q_m - x)^2 dx = \int_{A_m}^{B_m} (q_m - x)^2 dx$$
$$= \left[ \frac{-(q_m - x)^3}{3} \right]_{A_m}^{B_m}$$

$$= \frac{(q_m - A_m)^3}{3} - \frac{(q_m - B_m)^3}{3}$$
(20)

Fix m = 1, ..., M. By construction, we have

(21) 
$$\widetilde{B}_{m}^{u} - \widetilde{A}_{m}^{u} = \Phi(B_{m}^{u}) - \Phi(A_{m}^{u})$$
$$= \int_{I_{m}} \Phi'(x) dx$$

under weak differentiability assumptions. Now note that

$$\widetilde{B}_m^u - \widetilde{A}_m^u = \frac{\widetilde{B} - \widetilde{A}}{M}$$

while

(22) 
$$\int_{I_m} \Phi'(x) dx \simeq (B_m - A_m) \Phi'(q_m)$$

Comparing we see that

$$\frac{\widetilde{B} - \widetilde{A}}{M} \simeq (B_m - A_m)\Phi'(q_m)$$

so that

$$B_m - A_m \simeq \frac{\widetilde{B} - \widetilde{A}}{M\Phi'(q_m)}$$