

ENEE 620
FALL 2011
RANDOM PROCESSES
IN COMMUNICATION AND CONTROL

ANSWER KEY TO TEST # 2:

1. _____

1.a. Since \mathbf{R} is symmetric we need only show that it is positive semi-definite. We write any element \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

with x in \mathbb{R} and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)'$ in \mathbb{R}^n . With this notation we get

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 x + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k y_k \\ \rho_1 x + \sigma_1^2 y_1 \\ \rho_2 x + \sigma_2^2 y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_k x + \sigma_k^2 y_k \\ \vdots \\ \rho_n x + \sigma_n^2 y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} &= \left(\sigma^2 x + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k y_k \right) x + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (\rho_k x + \sigma_k^2 y_k) \\ &= \sigma^2 x^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k x y_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2 y_k^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\sigma_k^2 y_k^2 + 2\rho_k x y_k) \\ &= \sigma^2 x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sigma_k^2 y_k^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x \right) (\sigma_k y_k) \right) \\ &= \sigma^2 x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k \right)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 \right) x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k \right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

It is now plain that \mathbf{R} is positive semi-definite, i.e., $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} \geq 0$ for *all* \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , if and only if

$$\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

This condition is now enforced on the parameters entering \mathbf{R} .

Many of you used a criterion for positive semi-definiteness that involves the leading principal minors. Unfortunately, this condition is necessary and sufficient for positive definiteness, but only necessary for semi-positive definiteness.

1.b. The $(n+1)$ -dimensional random vector $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)'$ is assumed to be normally distributed $N((0, 0, \dots, 0)', \mathbf{R})$. The existence of a probability density function is equivalent to \mathbf{R} being invertible (i.e., positive definite), and this occurs if and only if

$$\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 > 0.$$

Indeed, $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = 0$ occurs if and only if

$$\left(\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 \right) x^2 = 0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k \right)^2 = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

If the condition $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = 0$ must imply $\mathbf{0}_{n+1}$, then we necessarily have

$$\left(\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 \right) \neq 0$$

and the announced condition follows!

1.c. By Part **1.b**, the probability distribution function of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional random vector $(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)'$ will not admit a probability density function if and only if

$$\sigma^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} \right)^2 = 0,$$

in which case

$$\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k \right)^2, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = 0$ if and only if

$$\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

i.e.,

$$y_k = - \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k^2} \right) x, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

This suggests introducing the linear subspace K of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} given by

$$K = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v} = x\mathbf{a}, x \in \mathbb{R} \} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{a}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} = \left(1, -\left(\frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right), \dots, -\left(\frac{\rho_n}{\sigma_n^2}\right) \right)' (\neq \mathbf{0}_{n+1}).$$

Note that $\dim(K) = 1$.

It now follows in the usual manner that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} [xX + \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{Y}] &= \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k} x + \sigma_k y_k \right)^2 \\ &= 0, \quad \mathbf{v} \in K \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

in which case

$$xX + \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{Y} = 0 \quad a.s.$$

whenever $\mathbf{v} = (x, \mathbf{y}')'$ is an element of K . Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)' \in H] = 1$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= K^\perp \\ &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{a} = 0 \} \\ &= \left\{ (x, \mathbf{y}')' \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k^2} y_k \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and $\dim(K) = n$.

2.

2.a. For each t in \mathbb{R} , note that

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{itX}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} e^{ikt} = e^{-\lambda(1-e^{it})}$$

by standard calculations, with a similar expression for $\mathbb{E} [e^{itY}]$. By the independence of the rvs X and Y , hence of the rvs e^{itX} and e^{-itY} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [e^{it(X-Y)}] &= \mathbb{E} [e^{itX}] \cdot \mathbb{E} [e^{-itY}] \\ &= e^{-\lambda(1-e^{it})} \cdot e^{-\lambda(1-e^{-it})} \\ &= e^{-2\lambda(1-\cos t)} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

as we recall the identity

$$\cos t = \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}.$$

2.b. Fix $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and t in \mathbb{R} . For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{it\frac{X_{n,k}}{\sqrt{n}}}\right] &= \frac{1}{2n}(e^{it} + e^{-it}) + 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\cos t + 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}(1 - \cos t)\right)\end{aligned}\tag{1.5}$$

Using the independence of the rvs $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{it\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\right] &= \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[e^{it\frac{X_{n,k}}{\sqrt{n}}}\right] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}(1 - \cos t)\right)^n.\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

2.c. It is now plain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{it\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}(1 - \cos t)\right)^n = e^{-(1 - \cos t)}$$

for each t in \mathbb{R} , thus $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow_n L$ where L is distributed like the difference of two independent Poisson rvs with parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ – This is an immediate consequence of Part **2.a**.

3.

3.a. There are several different ways to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\sqrt[p]{n}} = 0 \quad a.s.\tag{1.7}$$

for each $p \geq 1$.

First approach – The rvs $\{X, X_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are i.i.d. rvs, each exponentially distributed with unit parameter. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] < \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots\tag{1.8}$$

so that $\mathbb{E}[X^p] < \infty$ for each $p \geq 1$ – Just apply (1.8) with $k(p) = [p]$ and use the fact that $\mathbb{E}[X^p]$ is necessarily finite since $p < [p]$.

The rvs $\{(X_n)^p, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are still independent and identically distributed, and by the Strong Law of Large Numbers applied to this sequence of rvs we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k)^p = \mathbb{E}[X^p] \quad a.s.$$

whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(X_n)^p}{n} = 0 \quad a.s.$$

by standard arguments. This establishes (1.7).

Second approach – For every $\varepsilon > 0$, note that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\frac{X_n}{\sqrt[p]{n}} > \varepsilon \right] = \mathbb{P} [X_n > \varepsilon \sqrt[p]{n}] = e^{-\varepsilon \sqrt[p]{n}}$$

for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\frac{X_n}{\sqrt[p]{n}} > \varepsilon \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon \sqrt[p]{n}} < \infty$$

and the a.s. convergence (1.7) follows.

3.b. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, elementary calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &= \sqrt{T_{n+1}} - \sqrt{T_n} \\ &= \frac{(\sqrt{T_{n+1}} - \sqrt{T_n}) \cdot (\sqrt{T_{n+1}} + \sqrt{T_n})}{\sqrt{T_{n+1}} + \sqrt{T_n}} \\ &= \frac{T_{n+1} - T_n}{\sqrt{T_{n+1}} + \sqrt{T_n}} \\ &= \frac{X_{n+1}}{\sqrt{T_{n+1}} + \sqrt{T_n}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{X_{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{T_{n+1}}{n+1}} + \sqrt{\frac{T_n}{n+1}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

By the Strong of Large Numbers, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{n+1}}{n+1} = 1 \quad a.s. \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_n}{n+1} = 1 \quad a.s.$$

Using these facts and the convergence (1.7) (for $p = 2$) we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 0 \quad a.s.$$

4. ---

4.a. Here the Orthogonality Principle reads

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - Z^*) Z] = 0, \quad Z \in V. \tag{1.10}$$

However, any element Z of V is of the form

$$Z = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k Y_k + b$$

with arbitrary a_1, \dots, a_n and b in \mathbb{R} . Obviously, the rvs $Z = Y_1, \dots, Z = Y_n$ and $Z = 1$ are in V . Using them in (1.10) we get

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - Z^*) 1] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[(X - Z^*) Y_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus, Z^* satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z^*] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Z^*] - Z^*) Y_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Z^*] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}[X - Z^*, Y_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.11)$$

By linearity it is elementary to see that (1.10) and (1.11) are indeed equivalent.

4.b. Recall that Z^* is of the form

$$Z^* = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* Y_\ell + b^*$$

with a_1^*, \dots, a_n^* and b^* in \mathbb{R} such that (1.11) holds. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell] + b^*$$

and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[X - Z^*, Y_k] &= \text{Cov}\left[X - \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* Y_\ell + b^*\right), Y_k\right] \\ &= \text{Cov}[X, Y_k] - \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* \text{Cov}[Y_\ell, Y_k] \\ &= \text{Cov}[X, Y_k] - a_k^* \text{Var}[Y_k] \\ &= \rho_k - a_k^* \sigma_k^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

whence

$$a_k^* = \frac{\rho_k}{\sigma_k^2}.$$

It is now plain that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^* &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* Y_\ell + b^* \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* Y_\ell + \left(\mathbb{E}[X] - \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell] \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] + \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell^* (Y_\ell - \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X] + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell^2} (Y_\ell - \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell]). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

4.c. Next, we note that

$$X - Z^* = X - \mathbb{E}[X] - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell^2} (Y_\ell - \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell])$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[X - Z^*] &= \mathbb{E}[(X - Z^*)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X - \mathbb{E}[X] - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell^2} (Y_\ell - \mathbb{E}[Y_\ell])\right)^2\right] \\ &= \text{var}[X] + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell^2}\right)^2 \sigma_\ell^2 - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell^2} \text{Cov}[X, Y_\ell] \\ &= \text{var}[X] + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell}\right)^2 - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell}\right)^2 \\ &= \text{var}[X] - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(\frac{\rho_\ell}{\sigma_\ell}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$
