## ENEE 621: ESTIMATION AND DETECTION THEORY

## Problem Set 5: Solutions

Spring 2007

Narayan

1. Note that  $Y_1$  is independent of  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Now, observe that  $H_0$  is a composite hypothesis, and we are in a Bayesian situation with the rv  $\theta$  (= the rv  $P_0$ ) taking values in  $\Theta_0 = \{1/4, 3/4\}$  with  $P_0[\theta = \frac{1}{4}] = \frac{1}{4} = 1 - P_0[\theta = \frac{3}{4}]$ , where  $P_0$  denotes the conditional pmf of  $\theta$  given  $H = H_0$ .  $H_1$  is a simple hypothesis.

Under  $H_0$ : For each  $t \in \Theta_0 = \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}, \{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a 1st order Markov process with transition probabilities:

$$P_t[Y_{n+1} = 0|Y_n = 0] = P_t[X_n = 0|Y_n = 0]$$
  
=  $P_t[X_n = 0]$  (why?)  
=  $t$ 

Similarly,

$$P_t[Y_{n+1} = 0|Y_n = 0] = P_t[Y_{n+1} = 0|Y_n = 1] = 1 - t,$$

and

$$P_t [Y_{n+1} = 1 | Y_n = 1] = t.$$

Let  $Y=(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)$ . Given a sequence of observations  $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_N)$  where  $P_0[Y_1=0]=1$ , we have for each  $t\in\{1/4,3/4\}$ :  $f_t(y)=P_t[Y=y]=(1-t)^{\tilde{N}}t^{N-1-\tilde{N}}$ , where  $\tilde{N}$  is a rv with values in  $\{0,1,\ldots,N-1\}$  denoting the number of transitions from "0" to "1" and from "1" to "0" in  $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_N)$  (with  $y_1=0$ ). Then:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}_0(y) &= f_{\frac{1}{4}}(y) P_0[\theta = 1/4] + f_{\frac{3}{4}}(y) P_0[\theta = 3/4] \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N-1-\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{N-1-\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N} \left[3^{\tilde{N}} + 3^{N-\tilde{N}}\right]. \end{split}$$

Under  $H_1$ :  $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a 1st order Markov process with transition probabilities

$$P_1[Y_{n+1} = 0|Y_n = 0] = P_1[Y_{n+1} = 0|Y_n = 1] = 1/2$$

Then, for  $P_1[Y_1 = 0] = 1$ , we have

$$f_1(y) = P_1[Y = y] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N-1-\tilde{N}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Then:

$$(\ell r t)_{\eta} : \tilde{L}(y) = \frac{f_1(y)}{\tilde{f}_0(y)} \stackrel{H_0}{\underset{H_1}{\leq}} \eta$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N-1}}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N} \left[3^{\tilde{N}} + 3^{N-\tilde{N}}\right]} \stackrel{H_0}{\underset{H_1}{\leq}} \eta$$

i.e.,

$$3^{\tilde{N}} + 3^{N-\tilde{N}} \mathop{>}_{<\atop H_1}^{H_0} \frac{2^{N+1}}{\eta}$$

The LHS is the test statistic, and the RHS is the threshold.

(b)  $g_{MAP}(y) = arg \max_{\theta \in \{1/4, 3/4\}} g_y(\theta)$ . Since  $g_y(\theta) = \frac{f_\theta(y)g(\theta)}{f(y)}$ , it suffices to maximize the numerator as a function of  $\theta$ .

For 
$$\theta = \frac{1}{4}$$
,  $f_{\theta}(y)g(\theta) = (1 - \frac{1}{4})^{\tilde{N}} (\frac{1}{4})^{N-1-\tilde{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = 3^{\tilde{N}}4^{-N}$ .

For 
$$\theta = \frac{3}{4}$$
,  $f_{\theta}(y)g(\theta) = \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^{\tilde{N}} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{N-1-\tilde{N}} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = 3^{N-\tilde{N}}4^{-N}$ .

$$\Rightarrow g_{MAP}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \tilde{N} \ge N - \tilde{N} \text{ i.e. if } \tilde{N} \ge N/2 \\ \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \tilde{N} \le \frac{N}{2}. \end{cases}$$

2. Given c(0,0) = c(1,1) = 0, and  $\nu_0 = c(0,1) = 2c(1,0) = 2\nu_1$ . For the Bayes' decision rule  $d^*: \mathcal{Y}^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : d^*(y) = 0\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : h(y) < 0\}$ , where  $h(y) = \nu_1 p f_1(y) - 2\nu_1(1-p)f_0(y)$ . Clearly for  $|y| \geq 1$ ,  $h(y) = \nu_1 p f_1(y) \geq 0 \Rightarrow d^*(y) = 1$  for  $|y| \geq 1$ . Next observe that  $f_1(y) = 0 \Rightarrow f_0(y) = 0$ , so that

$$\ell \text{rt}: \frac{f_0(y)}{f_1(y)} \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{>}} \frac{\nu_1 p}{2\nu_1 (1-p)} = \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

We are only concerned with |y| < 1 now, in which case

$$\ell rt: \frac{1-|y|}{2-|y|} \stackrel{H_0}{\underset{H_1}{>}} \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p},$$

which simplifies to  $|y|(9p-8) \stackrel{H_0}{\underset{H_1}{\geq}} (10p-8)$ . so that for |y| < 1:

$$|y| \stackrel{H_0}{\underset{H_1}{>}} \frac{10p-8}{9p-8}$$
, if  $p \in \left(\frac{8}{9}, 1\right)$ 

$$|y| \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} \frac{10p-8}{9p-8}$$
, if  $p \in \left(0, \frac{8}{10}\right)$ 

and always say  $H_1$  if  $p \in \left[\frac{8}{10}, \frac{8}{9}\right]$ .

3.  $H_0$  is a simple hypothesis whereas  $H_1$  is composite. Fix  $p_1 \neq p_0$  and consider the simple hypothesis testing problem that results. Consider the Neyman-Pearson test of size  $\alpha$ . In a manner similar to Prob. 1, we get:

$$\alpha^{NP(\alpha;p_1)}: \frac{(1-p_1)^{\tilde{N}}p_1^{N-1-\tilde{N}}}{(1-p_0)^{\tilde{N}}p_0^{N-1-\tilde{N}}} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \eta(\alpha;p_1)$$

where  $\tilde{N} \in \{0, ..., N-1\}$  is a r.v. representing the # of transitions from "0" to "1" and from "1" to "0", and  $\eta(\alpha; p_1)$  is the corresponding threshold. Upon simplification

$$d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)}: \tilde{N}\log\frac{(1-p_1)p_0}{(1-p_0)p_1} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \log \eta(\alpha;p_1) + (N-1)\log\left(\frac{p_0}{p_1}\right)$$

If  $p_0 > p_1$ : Then by  $\frac{(1-p_1)p_0}{(1-p_0)p_1} > 0$ .

$$d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)}: \tilde{N} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} \frac{\log \eta(\alpha;p_1) + (N-1)\log\left(\frac{p_0}{p_1}\right)}{\log(1-p_1)p_0 - \log(1-p_0)p_1}$$

with  $\eta(\alpha; p_1)$  such that

$$P\left(\tilde{N} \ge \frac{\log \eta(\alpha; p_1) + (N-1)\log\left(\frac{p_0}{p_1}\right)}{\log(1-p_1)p_0 - \log(1-p_0)p_1} \mid H = 0\right) = \alpha$$

and let 
$$\nu = \frac{\log \eta(\alpha; p_1) + (N-1) \log \left(\frac{p_0}{p_1}\right)}{\log(1-p_1)p_0 - \log(1-p_0)p_1}$$

(assuming  $\alpha$  is such that solution  $\exists$ ).

Under  $H_0$ , the statistics of  $\tilde{N}$  depend on  $p_0$  so that  $\nu = \nu(\alpha; p_0)$ , i.e.,  $\nu$  does not depend on  $p_1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{Y}_d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)} = \{ y \in \{0,1\}^N : \tilde{N}(y) < \nu(\alpha;p_0) \}.$$

If  $p_0 < p_1$ : Can show since  $\log \frac{(1-p)p_0}{(1-p_0)p_1} < 0$  that  $d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)} : \tilde{N} \underset{H_1}{\overset{H_0}{\geq}} \nu'(\alpha;p_0)$ , where  $\nu'$  does not depend on  $p_1$ 

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{d}^{NP(\alpha;p_{1})} = \{ y \in \{0,1\}^{N} : \tilde{N}(y) > \nu'(\alpha;p_{0}) \}.$$

If  $p_0 = p_1$ :

$$\mathcal{Y}_d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)} = \begin{cases} \{ y \in \{0,1\}^N : \tilde{N}(y) < \nu(\alpha,p_0) \} & \text{if } p_0 > p_1 \\ \{ y \in \{0,1\}^N : \tilde{N}(y) > \nu'(\alpha,p_0) \} & \text{if } p_0 < p_1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, if  $\Theta_0 = \{p_0\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \{p_1 \in (0,1) = p_1 > p_0\}$ , a UMP test of size  $\alpha$  exists. If  $\Theta_0 = \{p_0\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \{p_1 \in (0,1); p_1 < p_0\}$ ,  $\exists$  UMP test of size  $\alpha$ . If  $\Theta_0 = \{p_0\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (0,p_0) \bigcup (p_0,1)$ , clearly we must know if  $p_1 > p_0$  or  $p_1 < p_0$  to execute  $d^{NP(\alpha;p_1)}$ ; hence, no UMP exists. When the UMP test does exist, the test statistic  $= \tilde{N}$ .

4. Fix  $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$ . Consider the corresponding simple hypothesis testing problem with the Neyman-Pearson test of size  $\alpha$ . Recalling that  $f_h(y) = \frac{y}{\sigma_h^2} e^{-y^2/\sigma_h^2}, y \geq 0, h = 0, 1.$ 

$$d^{NP(\alpha;\sigma_1^2)} = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} e^{-y^2(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2})} \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\geq}} \eta(\alpha;\sigma_1^2),$$

where the threshold  $\eta$  depends on the size  $\alpha$  and on  $\sigma_1^2$ . Upon simplification,

$$d^{NP(\alpha;\sigma_1^2)} = y^2(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2) \mathop{<}_{<}^{>} \left[ \log \eta(\alpha;\sigma_1^2) + \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right) \right] \sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2.$$

As in problem 3:

- (\*) If  $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2$ :  $\mathcal{Y}_{d^{NP(\alpha;\sigma_1^2)}} = \{y \in [0,\infty), y^2 < \nu(\alpha,\sigma_0^2)\}$  where  $\nu(\alpha,\sigma_0^2)$  is the soln of  $P(Y^2 \ge \nu | H = 0) = \alpha$ , and does not depend on  $\sigma_1^2$ .
- (\*\*) If  $\sigma_0^2 > \sigma_1^2$ :  $\mathcal{Y}_{d^{NP(\alpha;\sigma_1^2)}} = \{ y \in [0,\infty) : y^2 > \nu'(\alpha,\sigma_0^2) \}$  where  $\nu'(\alpha,\sigma_0^2)$  (not depending on  $\sigma_1^2$ ) solves  $P(Y^2 \le \nu'|H=0) = \alpha$ .

$$(***) \ \text{If} \ \sigma_0^2 \neq \sigma_1^2 \colon \mathcal{Y}_{d^{NP(\alpha;\sigma_1^2)}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{y \in [0,\infty) : y^2 < \nu(\alpha,\sigma_0^2)\} & \text{if} \ \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2 \\ \{y \in [0,\infty) : y^2 > \nu'(\alpha,\sigma_0^2)\} & \text{if} \ \sigma_0^2 > \sigma_1^2. \end{array} \right.$$

- (a)  $\Theta_0 = {\{\sigma_0^2\}}, \Theta_1 = {(\sigma_0^2, \infty)} \Rightarrow \exists \text{ UMP by (*)}$
- (b)  $\Theta_0 = {\{\sigma_0^2\}, \Theta_1 = (0, \sigma_0^2) \bigcup (\sigma_0^2, \infty)} \Rightarrow \text{no UMP by (***)}$
- (c)  $\Theta_0 = {\{\sigma_0^2\}, \Theta_1 = (0, \sigma_0^2) \Rightarrow \exists \text{ UMP by (**)}}.$
- 5. Let  $(Y_1, ..., Y_N)$  represent N independent coin tosses with  $Y_i = 1$  if head, 0 if tail,  $1 \le i \le N$ . The LRT is:  $\ell rt_{\eta} : \frac{f_1(y_1, ..., y_N)}{f_0(y_1, ..., y_N)} \overset{H_1}{\gtrsim} \eta$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p^{\tilde{N}}(1-p)^{N-\tilde{N}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N}} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\geq}} \eta ,$$

where  $\tilde{N} = \tilde{N}(Y)$  is a r.v. with values in  $\{0, \dots, N\}$  and represents the number of heads (note:  $\tilde{N}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$ ). Simplifying and using the fact that  $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we have

$$\ell r t_{\eta}: \tilde{N} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \frac{\log \eta - N \log(2(1-p))}{\log \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)}.$$

Clearly  $S_N = \tilde{N}$ . Under each hypothesis,  $\tilde{N}$  is binomial so that

$$P(\tilde{N}=k|H=0) = {N \choose k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N, k=0,\dots,N,$$

$$P(\tilde{N} = k|H = 1) = {N \choose k} p^k (1-p)^{N-k}, k = 0, \dots, N.$$

$$p_F\left(d^{NP(\alpha)}\right) = \alpha \Leftrightarrow P(N \ge \nu | H = 0) = \alpha,$$

where  $\nu$  solves

$$\sum_{k=\lceil \nu \rceil}^{N} P(\tilde{N} = k | H = 0) = \alpha$$

assuming  $\alpha$  is such that soln. exists

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \sum_{k=\lceil \nu \rceil}^N \binom{N}{k} = \alpha \quad \Rightarrow \lceil \nu \rceil = \lceil \nu \rceil(\alpha), \text{ a fn of } \alpha,$$

$$\Rightarrow d^{NP(\alpha)}: \tilde{N} \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \lceil \nu \rceil.$$

6.

$$H_0: Y_t = N_t$$
  $t = 1, ..., K.$   $H_1: Y_t = s_t + N_t$ 

The  $K \times K$ -covariance matrix  $R_K$  for the noise process  $\{N_t, t = 1, ..., k\}$  has entries  $R_K(t, \tau) = t \wedge \tau, 1 \leq t, \tau \leq K$ . Observe that

$$R_K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & & & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & K \end{bmatrix}$$

It can be shown by induction that  $detR_K = 1$  (clearly  $detR_1 = detR_2 = 1$ ).

(a) From class notes:

$$d^{NP(\alpha)}: y^T R_K^{-1} s \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} \nu(\alpha)$$

where 
$$y^T = (y_1, \dots, y_k), s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 with  $K$  elements. Now,  $R_K^{-1}R_K = I_{K \times K}; s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is the 1st column of  $R_K$  so that  $R_K^{-1}s = 1$ st column of  $I_{K \times K}$ .

$$\Rightarrow y^T R_K^{-1} s = y_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^{NP(\alpha)} : y_1 \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{>}} \nu(\alpha)$$

where  $\nu = \nu(\alpha)$  solves:  $P(Y_1 > \nu | H = 0) = \alpha$  i.e.,  $1 - \Phi(\nu) = \alpha$ , or  $\Phi(\nu) = 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow \nu = x_{1-\alpha}$  (a function only of  $\alpha$ ; see example of composite hypothesis testing in class notes for notation :  $x_{\alpha} = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ ). Then,

$$p_D\left(d^{NP(\alpha)}\right) = P(Y_1 \ge \nu | H = 1)$$

$$= P(Y_1 - 1 \ge \nu - 1 | H = 1), \text{ where } Y_1 - 1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi(\nu - 1) = 1 - \Phi(x_{1-\alpha} - 1)$$

- (b)  $d^{NP(\alpha)}: y^T R_K^{-1} s \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\geq}} \nu(\alpha)$ , where  $s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \dots \\ k \end{bmatrix}$  is also the last column of  $R_K$  so that  $R_K^{-1} s = \text{last column of } I_{K \times K} \Rightarrow y^T R_K^{-1} s = y_K$ . Then proceed in a manner similar to part (a). (Note:  $E[N_K^2] = K$ .)
- 7. (a) Let a "head" be the event 1, and a "tail" the event 0. Fix  $\theta$  in (0,1),  $\theta \neq 1/2$ , and consider the following simply hypothesis testing problem. (The original problem is one of composite hypothesis testing which we shall get to shortly.)

$$H_0: \{X_i\}_1^N i.i.d., P(X_i = 1|H = 0) = \frac{1}{2}; \{Y_i\}_1^N i.i.d., P(Y_i = 1|H = 0) = \theta$$

$$H_1: \{X_i\}_1^N i.i.d., P(X_i = 1|H = 0) = \theta; \{Y_i\}_1^N i.i.d., P(Y_i = 1|H = 0) = 1/2.$$

(Thus,  $H_0$  says the X-coin is fair, the Y-coin is biased with bias = fixed prob.  $\theta \neq 1/2$ ;  $H_1$  says that the Y-coin is fair, the X-coin is bias " $\theta$ ".) Let  $N_X = a$  r.v. in  $\{0, \ldots, N\}$  denoting the # of heads of the X-coin, and  $N_Y = a$  r.v. in  $\{0, \ldots, N\}$  denoting the

number of heads of the Y-coin. Observe that since  $\{X_i\}_1^N$  is independent of  $\{Y_i\}_1^N$ , we have  $N_X$  independent of  $N_Y$ .

$$\ell r t_{\eta} : L(x,y) \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} \eta, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ y = (y_1, \dots, y_N).$$

where

$$L(x,y) = \frac{\theta^{N_x} (1-\theta)^{N-N_x} (\frac{1}{2})^N}{(\frac{1}{2})^N \theta^{N_Y} (1-\theta)^{N-N_Y}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell r t \eta : \frac{\theta^{N_X} (1-\theta)^{N-N_X}}{\theta^{N_Y} (1-\theta)^{N-N_Y}} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\gtrless}} \eta.$$

Now consider the composite hypothesis testing problem with a view to setting up the generalized  $\ell rt$ . Observe that

$$\Theta_0 = \{1/2\} \times \{1/2\}^c \text{ where } \{1/2\}^c \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (0, 1/2) \bigcup (1/2, 1)$$

(so that  $\theta_0 = (1/2, \theta)$  a pair of parameters, where  $\theta \in \{\frac{1}{2}\}^c$ ). Likewise  $\Theta_1 = \{1/2\}^c \times \{1/2\}$ , so that  $\theta_1 = (\theta, 1/2)$ . Then the generalized LRT is:

$$g\ell rt_{\eta}: \hat{L}(x,y) = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \{1/2\}^c} \theta^{N_X} (1-\theta)^{N_X}}{\max_{\theta \in \{1/2\}^c} \theta^{N_Y} (1-\theta)^{N_Y}}.$$

It is easily verified that the maximizing values of  $\theta$  are:

$$\hat{\theta}(N_X) = N_X/N$$
 in the numerator

$$\hat{\theta}(N_Y) = N_Y/N$$
 in the denominator

both should differ from 1/2 for  $g\ell rt_{\eta}$  to exist.

$$\Rightarrow g\ell rt_{\eta}: \hat{L}(x,y) = \frac{\left(\frac{N_X}{N}\right)^{N_X} \left(\frac{N-N_X}{N}\right)^{N-N_X}}{\left(\frac{N_Y}{N}\right)^{N_Y} \left(\frac{N-N_Y}{N}\right)^{N-N_Y}} \mathop{<}_{H_0}^{H_1} \eta$$

which simplifies to:

$$\frac{N_X^{N_X}(N - N_X)^{N - N_X}}{N_Y^{N_Y}(N - N_Y)^{N - N_Y}} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} \eta$$

Next, to check for conditions for a UMP to exist: for a fixed  $\theta$  in  $\{1/2\}^c$ , the Neyman-Pearson test of size  $\alpha$  is:

$$d^{NP(\alpha;\theta)}: \theta^{N_X-N_Y}(1-\theta)^{N_Y-N_X} \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} \eta(\alpha,\theta)$$

i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{N_X-N_Y} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\stackrel{>}{\sim}}} \eta(\alpha,\theta),$$

i.e.,

$$(N_X - N_Y) \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}\right) \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\leq}} \log \eta(\alpha, \theta).$$

Then as in probs. 3, 4;

(\$) If 
$$\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) : d^{NP(\alpha; \theta)} : N_X - N_Y \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \nu(\alpha)$$
, where  $\nu$  solves:

$$P(N_X - N_Y \ge \nu | H = 0) = \alpha$$
 (assuming soln.  $\exists$ ).

(\*) If 
$$\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) : d^{NP(\alpha, \theta)} : N_Y - N_X \underset{H_0}{\overset{H_1}{\geq}} \nu'(\alpha)$$
, where  $\nu'$  solves

$$P(N_Y - N_X \ge \nu' | H = 0) = \alpha$$
 (assuming soln  $\exists$ ).

Now, if  $\Theta_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \Theta_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ , UMP test exists as (\$) obtains. If  $\Theta_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \times (0, \frac{1}{2}), \Theta_1 = (0, \frac{1}{2}) \times \{\frac{1}{2}\} \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \exists$  UMP since (\*) obtains. As in probs 3,4, if  $\Theta_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}^c, \Theta_1 = \{\frac{1}{2}\}^c \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \not\exists$  UMP. The test statistic in the first two cases is  $N_X - N_Y$ . item To compute  $p_F$ , let us consider the case  $\Theta_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \Theta_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ . For the MPE criterion,  $\eta(\alpha, \theta) = 1$  so that

$$\ell rt: N_X - N_Y \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{>}} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p_F(d^*) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sup_{\theta \in (\frac{1}{2},1)} p_F(d_{\theta}^*)$$

i.e.,

$$p_F(d^*) = \sup_{\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)} P(N_X \ge N_Y | H = 0)$$

$$= \sup_{\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)} \sum_{k=0}^N P(N_X \ge k | N_Y = k, H = 0) P(N_Y = k | H = 0)$$

$$= \sup_{\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)} \sum_{k=0}^N P(N_X \ge k | H = 0) P(N_Y = k | H = 0)$$

recall:  $N_X$  is independent of  $N_Y$  under  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ ).

$$= \sup_{\theta \in (\frac{1}{2},1)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left( \sum_{i=k}^{N} {N \choose i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N} \right) \left( {N \choose k} \theta^{k} (1-\theta)^{N-k} \right)$$

ENJOY IT!