

1. The likelihood ratio can be written as

$$L(1) = \frac{1-\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \quad L(0) = \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_0}$$

From II-B-9, the threshold is

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\pi_0 (C_{10} - C_{00})}{\pi_1 (C_{01} - C_{11})}$$

The Bayes risk can be written as

$$r(\delta) = \sum_{j=0}^1 \pi_j C_{0j} + \sum_{j=0}^1 \pi_j (C_{1j} - C_{0j}) P_j(\Gamma_1)$$

from II-B-5. We only need to calculate $P_j(\Gamma_1)$

1° if $L(1) \geq \bar{\tau}$ and $L(0) \geq \bar{\tau}$,

then $\Gamma_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $P_j(\Gamma_1) = 1$ for $j=0, 1$

2° if $L(1) \geq \bar{\tau}$ and $L(0) < \bar{\tau}$

then $\Gamma_1 = \{1\}$, $P_0(\Gamma_1) = \lambda_0$, $P_1(\Gamma_1) = 1 - \lambda_0$

3° if $L(1) < \bar{\tau}$ and $L(0) < \bar{\tau}$

then $\Gamma_1 = \emptyset$, $P_0(\Gamma_1) = 0$, $P_1(\Gamma_1) = 0$

4° if $L(1) < \bar{\tau}$ and $L(0) \geq \bar{\tau}$

then $\Gamma_1 = \{0\}$, $P_0(\Gamma_1) = 1 - \lambda_0$, $P_1(\Gamma_1) = \lambda_0$

2. The likelihood ratio can be written as

$$L(\eta) = \frac{3}{2(\eta+1)}, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$$

Under equal prior and uniform cost, $\tau=1$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma_1 = \left\{ \eta \in [0, 1] \mid L(\eta) \geq 1 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \eta \mid \eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \right\}$$

The Bayes rule is

$$\delta_B(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \eta \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

The Bayes risk is

$$\begin{aligned} r(\delta_B) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{2}{3}(\eta+1) d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 d\eta \\ &= \frac{11}{24} \end{aligned}$$

3. We have

$$f_0(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} e^{-y} & y \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} e^y & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_1(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-2y} & y \geq 0 \\ e^{2y} & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

The threshold is $T = \frac{\pi_0 (C_{10} - C_{00})}{\pi_1 (C_{01} - C_{11})} = \frac{4}{9}$

The likelihood ratio is

$$L(y) = \frac{f_1(y)}{f_0(y)} = \begin{cases} 2e^{-y} & y \geq 0 \\ 2e^y & y < 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\Gamma_1(y) = \{y \mid L(y) \geq T\}$
 $= \{y \mid \ln \frac{2}{9} \leq y \leq \ln \frac{9}{2}\}$

The Bayes rule is

$$S_B(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ln \frac{2}{9} \leq y \leq \ln \frac{9}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The Bayes risk is

$$r(S_B) = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \int_{\ln \frac{2}{9}}^{\ln \frac{9}{2}} \frac{1}{2} e^{-|y|} dy \\ + \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{3}{4} \times \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\ln \frac{2}{9}} e^{2y} dy + \int_{\ln \frac{9}{2}}^{\infty} e^{-2y} dy \right)$$

4. Let $x_\lambda = (1-\lambda)x_0 + \lambda x_1$, then we have

$$f_\alpha(x_\lambda) \geq (1-\lambda)f_\alpha(x_0) + \lambda f_\alpha(x_1), \quad \forall \alpha \in A$$

Then,

$$\inf (f_\alpha(x_\lambda) : \alpha \in A) \geq \inf ((1-\lambda)f_\alpha(x_0) + \lambda f_\alpha(x_1) : \alpha \in A)$$

Since the LHS is $g(x_\lambda)$,

$$\Rightarrow g(x_\lambda) \geq \inf ((1-\lambda)f_\alpha(x_0) + \lambda f_\alpha(x_1) : \alpha \in A)$$

$$\geq \inf ((1-\lambda)f_\alpha(x_0) : \alpha \in A) + \inf (\lambda f_\alpha(x_1) : \alpha \in A)$$

$$= (1-\lambda)g(x_0) + \lambda g(x_1)$$

5. WLOG, let $a < u < v < w < b$.

By definition (1.1)

$$g(u) - \frac{g(v) - g(u)}{v - u} (v - x) \leq g(x) \leq g(v) - \frac{g(w) - g(v)}{w - v} (v - x)$$

for $x \in [u, v]$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow v^-} g(x) = g(v)$$

Similarly,

$$g(v) + \frac{g(v) - g(u)}{v - u} (x - v) \leq g(x) \leq g(v) + \frac{g(w) - g(v)}{w - v} (x - v)$$

for $x \in [v, w]$,

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow v^+} g(x) = g(v)$$

6. It's not necessarily concave, a counterexample is below.

Let I be $[0, +\infty)$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -x^2 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -1 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = 0 \quad \text{and } g(0) = -1,$$

which means it's not continuous.

It's easy to verify that $g(x)$ is concave using definition (1.1)

7. The likelihood ratio is

$$L(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{y - \frac{y^2}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2e}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y-1)^2}{2}}, \quad y \geq 0$$

We let $T' = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2e}} \log\left(\frac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0}\right)$,

$$T_1 = \left\{ y \geq 0 \mid (y-1)^2 \leq T' \right\}$$

there are three cases :

$$T_1 = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } T' < 0 \\ [-\sqrt{T'}, +\sqrt{T'}] & \text{if } 0 \leq T' \leq 1 \\ [0, 1 + \sqrt{T'}] & \text{if } T' > 1 \end{cases}$$

The minimum Bayes risk can be calculated for the three cases :

1° $T' < 0$, $r(\delta_B) = 1 - \pi_0$

2° $0 \leq T' \leq 1$, $r(\delta_B) = \pi_0 \int_{-\sqrt{T'}}^{+\sqrt{T'}} e^{-y} dy + (1-\pi_0) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[\int_0^{+\sqrt{T'}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy + \int_{1+\sqrt{T'}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \right]$

3° $T' > 1$, $r(\delta_B) = \pi_0 \int_0^{1+\sqrt{T'}} e^{-y} dy + (1-\pi_0) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{1+\sqrt{T'}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$

8. Since $\{0,1\} \cap \{y \mid y \in (0,1)\} = \emptyset$,

the test that minimizes the probability of error can be written as

$$\delta(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The probability of error is 0

9. It can be formalated as a binary hypothesis testing problem by creating a Borel mapping
 $d: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$$F_0(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} & \text{if } y > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$F_1(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{y} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} + \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(-\sqrt{y} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} & \text{if } y \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

10. The likelihood ratio can be written as

$$L(y) = \frac{F_1(y)}{F_0(y)} = \frac{\frac{\eta}{\sigma_1^2} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}}{\frac{\eta}{\sigma_0^2} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_0^2}}}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} e^{\left(\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_0^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)} \quad \text{for } y \geq 0$$

The threshold is

$$T = \frac{\pi_0 (C_{10} - C_{00})}{\pi_1 (C_{01} - C_{11})}$$

The likelihood ratio test is

$$s(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(y) \geq T \\ 0 & \text{if } L(y) < T \end{cases}$$