

1. Under H_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y|H_0) &= \frac{p(y, H_0)}{p(H_0)} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^B \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_0^B \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Under H_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y|H_1) &= \frac{p(y, H_1)}{p(H_1)} \\ &= \frac{\int_B^{\infty} \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_B^{\infty} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta} \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood ratio can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L(y) &= \frac{p(y|H_1)}{p(y|H_0)} \\ &= \frac{\int_B^{\infty} \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_0^B \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta} \cdot \frac{\int_0^B \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_B^{\infty} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta} \end{aligned}$$

The threshold is

$$T = \frac{\pi_0 (C_{10} - C_{00})}{\pi_1 (C_{01} - C_{11})} = \frac{p(H_0)}{p(H_1)} = \frac{\int_0^P \alpha e^{-\alpha\theta} d\theta}{\int_B^{\infty} \alpha e^{-\alpha\theta} d\theta}$$

Therefore, $L(\eta) \geq T \Leftrightarrow \frac{\int_B^P \theta e^{-\theta\eta} \alpha e^{-\alpha\theta} d\theta}{\int_0^B \theta e^{-\theta\eta} \alpha e^{-\alpha\theta} d\theta} \geq 1,$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{e^{-\beta(\alpha+\eta)} ((\alpha+\eta)^{\beta+1})}{1 - e^{-\beta(\alpha+\eta)} ((\alpha+\eta)^{\beta+1})} \geq 1$$

Let η' be the solution of $e^{-\beta(\alpha+\eta)} ((\alpha+\eta)^{\beta+1}) = \frac{1}{2}$,
the decision rule is

$$S_{\beta}(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta \leq \eta' \\ 0 & \text{if } \eta > \eta' \end{cases}$$

The Bayes risk is

$$V(\delta_{\beta}) = \pi_0 C_{10} \beta(\tau_1 | H_0) + \pi_1 C_{01} \beta(\tau_0 | H_1)$$

$$= C_{10} \beta(\tau_1, H_0) + C_{01} \beta(\tau_0, H_1)$$

$$= \int_0^{\eta'} \int_0^{\beta} \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta dy$$

$$+ \int_{\eta'}^{\infty} \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta dy$$

2. Under H_0 ,

$$f(y|H_0) = \frac{\int_0^{\beta} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum y_i} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_0^{\beta} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}$$

Under H_1 ,

$$f(y|H_1) = \frac{\int_{\beta}^{\infty} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum y_i} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_{\beta}^{\infty} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}$$

$$L(y) \geq T \iff F(y) \geq 1 \quad \text{where}$$

$$F(y) = \frac{\int_{\beta}^{\infty} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum y_i} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}{\int_0^{\beta} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum y_i} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta}$$

$$\int_0^{\beta} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum y_i} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta$$

The likelihood ratio test can be written as

$$\delta_{\beta}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F(y) \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } F(y) < 1 \end{cases}$$

The Bayes Risk can be written as

$$r(\delta_B) = \pi_0 C_{10} \beta(\tau_1 | H_0) + \pi_1 C_{01} \beta(\tau_0 | H_1)$$

$$= \int_{\{y: F(y) \geq 1\}} \int_0^\beta \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta dy$$

$$+ \int_{\{y: F(y) < 1\}} \int_\beta^\infty \theta e^{-\theta y} \alpha e^{-\alpha \theta} d\theta dy$$

3. a) The likelihood ratio can be written as

$$L(\eta) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta_1} e^{-(y_k - \mu_1)^2 / 2\delta_1^2}}{\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \delta_0} e^{-(y_k - \mu_0)^2 / 2\delta_0^2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}\right)^n e^{\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_0^2}{\delta_0^2} - \frac{\mu_1^2}{\delta_1^2}\right)} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2\delta_0^2} - \frac{1}{2\delta_1^2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}$$

$$e^{\left(\frac{\mu_1}{\delta_1} - \frac{\mu_0}{\delta_0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n y_k},$$

which is of the desired form

b) If $\mu_0 = \mu_1$, $\delta_1^2 > \delta_0^2$,

$$L(\eta) = \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}\right)^n e^{\sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\delta_0^2} - \frac{1}{2\delta_1^2}\right)}$$

$$L(\eta) \geq \tau \iff \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu)^2 \geq \tau'$$

In other words, we compare $\sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu)^2$ with some threshold.

If $\sigma_0^2 = \sigma_1^2$, $\mu_1 > \mu_0$,

$$L(y) = e^{-\frac{k}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu_0)^2} - e^{-\frac{k}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - \mu_1)^2}$$

$$= e^{2(\mu_1 - \mu_0) \sum_{k=1}^n y_k} e^{-n(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2)}$$

$$L(y) \geq \tau \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \geq \tau''$$

In other words, we compare $\sum_{k=1}^n y_k$ with some threshold.

c) For $n=1$, $\mu_1 = \mu_0 = \mu$ and $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2$, the NP test is

$$\delta_{NP}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y - \mu)^2 \geq \tau' \\ 0 & \text{if } (y - \mu)^2 < \tau' \end{cases}$$

The false alarm probability is

$$\begin{aligned} P_F(\delta_{NP}) &= P_0((y - \mu)^2 \geq \tau') \\ &= 2 \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau'}}{\sigma_0}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the CDF of normal distribution.

Therefore, the appropriate τ' for α is

$$\tau' = \left[\delta_0 \phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \right]^2$$

The detection probability is

$$P_D(\delta_{NP}) = 1 - P_1 \left((y-u)^2 < \tau' \right)$$

$$= 2 \left[1 - \phi \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1} \phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \right) \right], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

4. If $\mu = \mu_1 > \mu_0 = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 = \sigma_1^2 > 0$,

$$L(\eta) = e^{2u \sum_{k=1}^n y_k} e^{-n u^2}$$

The NP test is

$$\delta_{NP}(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum y_i \geq \tau'' \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum y_i < \tau'' \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_F &= P_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \geq \tau'' \right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{\tau''}{\sqrt{n} \sigma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

therefore, the appropriate τ'' for α is

$$\tau'' = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha) \sqrt{n} \sigma$$

If σ is unknown, there does not exist a UMP for any α .

$$\begin{aligned} P_D &= P_1 \left(\sum y_i \geq \tau'' \right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{\tau'' - n\mu}{\sqrt{n} \sigma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

5. a) From chapter 2.5 of the lecture notes, with the error probability criteria, the decision becomes ~~an~~ a MAP computer.

Under equal priors, it reduces to a maximum likelihood problem.

The decision rule is

$$S(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta > 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } \eta < -1 \\ \gamma_1 & \text{if } \eta \in [0, 1] \\ \gamma_2 & \text{if } \eta \in [-1, 0) \end{cases}$$

where γ_1 can be 0 or 1 with any probability,
 γ_2 can be 0 or 2 with any probability,
without changing the error probability.

b) Take $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 = 0$ as an example, the error probability is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \times P_0(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) + \frac{1}{3} P_1(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2) + \frac{1}{3} P_2(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1) \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$