

Fixed Points

Theorem: Let X be a Banach space and let $S \subset X$ be a closed subset. Let $T: S \rightarrow S$ be a contraction map, i.e. there is a number ρ $0 \leq \rho < 1$ such that

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \rho \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in S.$$

Then there is a unique $x^* \in S$ such that $x^* = T(x^*)$ (i.e. x^* is a fixed point of T). Further this fixed point can be obtained as the limit of a sequence of successive approximations (Banach iterations).

Proof: Let $x_0 \in S$. Define the sequence $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset S$ since $T: S \rightarrow S$, each by $x_{n+1} = T(x_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| &= \|T(x_k) - T(x_{k-1})\| \\ &\leq \rho \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \\ &\leq \rho^2 \|x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}\| \quad (\text{repeating previous step}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \rho^{k-1} \|x_2 - x_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \|x_{k+r} - x_k\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r (x_{k+i} - x_{k+i-1}) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_{k+i} - x_{k+i-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^{k+i-2} \|x_2 - x_i\| \\
 &\leq p^{k-1} \|x_2 - x_1\| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \\
 &= \frac{p^{k-1}}{1-p} \|x_2 - x_1\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
 &\quad (\because p < 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is a Banach space, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^* &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n . \text{ But } \{x_n\} \subset S . \text{ (closed) a closed set} \\
 \Rightarrow x^* &\in S .
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x^* - T(x^*)\| &= \|x^* - x_n + x_n - T(x^*)\| \\
 &\leq \|x^* - x_n\| + \|x_n - T(x^*)\| \\
 &= \|x^* - x_n\| + \|T(x_{n-1}) - T(x^*)\| \\
 &\leq \|x^* - x_n\| + \varphi \|x_{n-1} - x^*\| \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \|x^* - T(x^*)\| = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = T(x^*).$$

Suppose there is a $y^* \in S$ also a fixed point of T .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x^* - y^*\| &= \|T(x^*) - T(y^*)\| \\
 &\leq \varphi \|x^* - y^*\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi < 1 \Rightarrow \|x^* - y^*\| = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = y^*.$$

We have shown uniqueness.

QED

If instead of a specific map T , we have a parametrized family of maps, then we can show continuity of the fixed point wrt θ . For such a family $T: \Theta \times S \rightarrow S$, define

$$T_\theta: S \rightarrow S \quad \text{by} \quad T_\theta(x) \triangleq T(\theta, x) \quad x \in S$$

$$\text{and} \quad T^x: \Theta \rightarrow S \quad \text{by} \quad T^x(\theta) \triangleq T(\theta, x) \quad \theta \in \Theta.$$

These maps T_θ and T^x are called partial maps associated to the family T .

Theorem 2 : Let Θ be a metric space with metric d . Let X be a Banach space and let $S \subset X$ be a closed subset such that the family $T: \Theta \times S \rightarrow S$ has the following properties

- (i) Each partial map $T_\theta: S \rightarrow S$, $\theta \in \Theta$, is a contraction with contraction coefficient $\rho < 1$
- (ii) Each partial map $T^x: \Theta \rightarrow S$, $x \in S$, is continuous, i.e. given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that, $d(\theta, \theta') < \delta_x \Rightarrow \|T^x(\theta) - T^x(\theta')\| < \epsilon$.

Then the map $F: \Theta \rightarrow S$, $F(\theta) \triangleq x_\theta^* = \text{unique fixed point of } T_\theta$, is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\theta^* - x_{\theta'}^*\| &= \|T_\theta(x_\theta^*) - T_{\theta'}(x_{\theta'}^*)\| \\ &\leq \|T_\theta(x_\theta^*) - T_\theta(x_{\theta'}^*)\| + \|T_\theta(x_{\theta'}^*) - T_{\theta'}(x_{\theta'}^*)\| \\ &\leq \rho \|x_\theta^* - x_{\theta'}^*\| + \|T_\theta(x_{\theta'}^*) - T_{\theta'}(x_{\theta'}^*)\| \\ &\leq \rho \|x_\theta^* - x_{\theta'}^*\| + \|T^{x_{\theta'}^*}(\theta) - T^{x_{\theta'}^*}(\theta')\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_{\theta}^* - x_{\theta'}^*\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} \|x_{\theta}^* T(\theta) - x_{\theta'}^* T(\theta')\|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{1-\rho} \quad \text{whenever } d(\theta, \theta') < \delta_{x_{\theta'}^*}$$

~~more details about L, U, D, 1/L, 1-1/L~~

Example 1 (Jacobi's algorithm)

Consider the system of linear equations,

$$Ax = b,$$

where A is a square matrix. We can write this as a fixed point problem

$$x = -D^{-1}(L+U)x + D^{-1}b$$

where $A = L + D + U$ denotes the decomposition of A into strictly lower triangular, diagonal and strictly upper triangular parts and we assume D is invertible.

Jacobi's algorithm to solve this problem:

$$x_{k+1} = -D^{-1}(L+U)x_k + D^{-1}b$$

is a special case of Banach iteration for the map $T(x) = -D^{-1}(L+U)x + D^{-1}b$

Suppose A is diagonally dominant:

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}} |a_{ij}| \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

Then $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a contraction.

What is f in this case?

Example 2 Consider the scalar equation

$$g(x) = x^2 - b \quad \text{where } b > 0.$$

Let $y = 1 - x$. Finding the (positive) square root of b is a fixed point problem,

$$y = \frac{1}{2}((1-b) + y^2) = T(y)$$

Suppose $|1-b| < p < 1$.

Then T maps the closed subset $S = \{y : |y| \leq p\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ into itself and it is a contraction on S with parameter p .

Thus the algorithm (Banach iteration)

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}((1-b) + y_n^2)$$

converges for $|1-b| < p < 1$

It is equivalent to

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1}{2}x_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}b$$

How does it compare with Newton's method?