

$V$  is radially unbounded. Thus

$y(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Can

we conclude that  $k(t) \rightarrow k_\infty$  some specific value? Yes but the argument is subtle and is dependent on real analyticity of  $V$ !

Remark: In this problem, we have shown that a suitable Lyapunov function exists but we don't know it explicitly ( $\lambda > \frac{a}{b}$  is unknown since system is unknown)

## EXAMPLE 2

We now show the connection between the example just analyzed and the problem of model reference adaptive control.

Consider a plant given by

$$\dot{y}_p = a_p y_p + k_p u$$

We have a reference model

$$\dot{y}_m = a_m y_m + k_m v$$

The adaptive controller is intended to make the plant behave like the reference model.

The controller  $u(t) = \theta_1^* r(t) + \theta_2^* y_p(t)$

where  $\theta_1^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}$ , and  $\theta_2^* = \frac{a_m - a_p}{k_p}$

does the job, but we need to know  $k_p$  and  $a_p$  exactly. What if these are unknown?

Consider

$$u(t) = \theta_1(t) r(t) + \theta_2(t) y_p(t)$$

(a controller of the same form as before, but  $\theta_i$  vary with time).

Then consider the "gradient rule" to be justified later,

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = -\gamma (y_p - y_m) r \quad \gamma > 0$$

$$\dot{\theta}_2 = -\gamma (y_p - y_m) y_p$$

Assume that the sign of  $k_p$  is known,  $k_p > 0$ .

The state space model of the closed loop system takes the form below. First define

$$e_0 \triangleq y_p - y_m \quad (\text{output error})$$

$$\phi_1 \triangleq \theta_1 - \theta_1^* \quad (\text{parameter error})$$

$$\phi_2 \triangleq \theta_2 - \theta_2^* \quad (\text{" " "})$$

Then  $\dot{y}_m = a_m y_m + k_m r$

$$= (a_p + \theta_2^* k_p) y_m + \theta_1^* k_p r$$

(by def<sup>n</sup> of  $\theta_1^*$ ,  $\theta_2^*$ )

$$= \underline{a_p y_m + k_p (\theta_1^* r + \theta_2^* y_m)}$$

$$\dot{y}_p = \underline{a_p y_p + k_p (\theta_1 r + \theta_2 y_p)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{e}_0 = \dot{y}_p - \dot{y}_m$$

$$= a_p e_0 + k_p (\theta_1 - \theta_1^*) r$$

$$+ k_p (\theta_2 y_p - \theta_2^* y_m)$$

(by differencing the boxed equations)

$$= a_p e_0 + k_p (\theta_1 - \theta_1^*) r$$

$$+ k_p (\theta_2 y_p - \theta_2^* y_m + \theta_2^* y_p - \theta_2^* y_p)$$

$$= (a_p e_0 + k_p \theta_2^* e_0) + k_p (\theta_1 - \theta_1^*) r$$

$$+ k_p (\theta_2 - \theta_2^*) y_p$$

$$\dot{e}_0 = a_m e_0 + k_p \phi_1 \cdot r + k_p \phi_2 (y_m + e_0)$$

(from definitions of  $\theta_2^*$ ,  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$ )

So the closed loop system equations  
(both inner & outer loops are closed) are

$$\dot{e}_0 = a_m e_0 + k_p \phi_1 \cdot r + k_p \phi_2 \cdot (e_0 + y_m)$$

$$\dot{\phi}_1 = -\gamma e_0 r$$

$$\dot{\phi}_2 = -\gamma e_0 (e_0 + y_m)$$

where  $\dot{\phi}_i = \dot{\theta}_i$  used

The signals  $r$ ,  $y_m$ ,  $\phi_p$  are time-varying.

There are ~~two~~ <sup>three</sup> special cases.

(1)  $k_p$  is known  $\Rightarrow \theta_1 = \theta_1^*$  drop  $\phi_1$  equation  
and let  $\phi \triangleq \phi_2$ .

Then 
$$\dot{e}_0 = a_m e_0 + k_p \phi \cdot (e_0 + y_m)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma \cdot e_0 (e_0 + y_m)$$

(2)  $r(t) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow y_m(t) \equiv 0$ . (assume  $y_m(0) = 0$ )  
 $k_p$  not known but  $k_p > 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \dot{e}_0 = (a_m + k_p \phi) e_0; \quad \dot{\phi} = -\gamma e_0^2$$

We are now in exactly the same situation as in EXAMPLE 1 and by letting

$$\underline{\Phi}(e_0, \phi) = \gamma e_0^2 + k_p \left( \phi + \frac{a_m}{k_p} \right)^2$$

and observing that  $\underline{\Phi}$  is constant along the trajectories of the closed loop system we conclude (as in EXAMPLE 1), that  $e_0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $k_p \rightarrow k_p$  a constant  
< Trajectories move on semi-ellipses backwards >

The analysis of case (1) and the more general setting of EXAMPLE 2 needs to take account of time-dependent (nonautonomous) systems.

(3) In this third special case, we assume  $a_p$  is known =  $a_m$ . But  $k_p$  is unknown. We further assume  $k_p > 0$  (sign of  $k_p$  is known). Then  $\theta_2^* = 0$ , no matter what  $k_p$  is, so it makes sense to ask  $\theta_2 = 0 = \theta_2^*$ . Thus  $\phi_2$  drops out

The closed loop equations are

$$\dot{e}_0 = a_m e_0 + k_p \phi \cdot r$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma e_0 \cdot r$$

$$\phi \triangleq \phi_1 = \theta_1 - \theta_1^*$$

We analyze this system via the (Lyapunov) function  $V(e_0, \phi) = \gamma e_0^2 + k_p \phi^2$

Along the trajectories of the closed loop system

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 2\gamma e_0 \dot{e}_0 + 2k_p \phi \dot{\phi}$$

$$= 2\gamma e_0 (a_m e_0 + k_p \phi \cdot r)$$

$$+ 2k_p \phi (-\gamma e_0 \cdot r)$$

$$= 2\gamma a_m e_0^2$$

Suppose  $a_p = a_m < 0$  (open loop stable)

Then  $2\gamma a_m e_0^2 \leq 0$ .

Thus we have  $V$  positive definite and  $\dot{V} \leq 0$  along traj. of closed loop system.

Thus  $e_0$  and  $\dot{e}$  are bounded.  
Do they go to 0?

Note: closed loop system is non-autonomous ( $r(t)$  is dependent on  $t$ )  
So we need a theorem that applies to nonautonomous o.d.e's.

If  $r(t) = \text{constant} = 0$ , then parameter error is unchanged (no adaptation) but by hypothesis that  $a_m < 0$ ,  $e_0 \rightarrow 0$ .

If  $r(t) = \text{constant} \neq 0$ , then from

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{e}_0 &= a_m \dot{e}_0 + k_p \dot{\phi} r \\ &= a_m \dot{e}_0 + k_p (-\gamma e_0 r) r\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\ddot{e}_0 - a_m \dot{e}_0 + \gamma k_p r^2 e_0 = 0$$

Since  $a_m < 0$ ,  $\gamma k_p > 0$  it follows that  $e_0(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (oscillatory decay)

$$\ddot{\phi} = -\gamma \dot{e}_0 \cdot r$$

$$= -\gamma r (a_m e_0 + k_p \phi r)$$

$$= -\gamma r \left( a_m \left( \frac{-\dot{\phi}}{\gamma r} \right) - k_p \phi \gamma r^2 \right)$$

$$= +a_m \dot{\phi} - k_p \phi \gamma r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{\phi} - a_m \dot{\phi} + (k_p \gamma r^2) \phi = 0$$

again - oscillatory decay to 0

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

One can see the convergence result more quickly by appealing to LaSalle's invariance principle:

$$\dot{e}_0 = a_m e_0 + k_p \phi \cdot r$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\gamma e_0 \cdot r$$

Only equilibrium is  $(0,0)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r &\neq 0 \\ \gamma &> 0 \\ a_m &< 0 \\ k_p &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

~~ADP~~