

We derive some properties of gradient algorithms (and slight variants)

First some notation; for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$L_p^m = \left\{ f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \mid \int_0^\infty \|f(t)\|^p dt < \infty \right\}.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes any norm on the finite dimensional space \mathbb{R}^m . All such norms being equivalent, if the inequality in the definition above holds in one norm on \mathbb{R}^m , it is true in any other norm on \mathbb{R}^m .

If $f \in L_p^m$ then we define the function space norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^\infty \|f(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$$L_\infty^m = \left\{ f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \mid \forall t \geq 0, \|f(t)\| < M \text{ for some } M > 0 \right\}$$

We then define the function space norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f(t)\|$$

on the function space L_∞^m .

It is convenient to drop the superscript m in L_∞^m , L_p^m etc. as it will be apparent

* Two norms $\|\cdot\|^a$ and $\|\cdot\|^b$ are equivalent if there exists constants c_1 and c_2 both > 0 s.t. $c_1 \|x\|^a \leq \|x\|^b \leq c_2 \|x\|^a \quad \forall x$.

from the context where the functions take values,

Gradient algorithm properties

(a) Consider $\dot{\phi} = \dot{\theta} = -\gamma e_1 w$ $\gamma > 0$
 $e_1 = \phi^T w$

where $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is assumed to be piecewise continuous. Then,

$$e_1 \in L_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \in L_\infty$$

Proof $\dot{\phi} = -\gamma w w^T \phi$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \phi^T \phi \quad \text{satisfies}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= \phi^T \dot{\phi} = -\gamma \phi^T w w^T \phi \\ &= -\gamma (w^T \phi)^2 \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$0 \leq V(\phi(t)) \leq V(\phi(0)) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \Rightarrow \phi \in L_\infty.$$

$V(\phi(t))$ is monotone decreasing and bounded below.

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(\phi(t))$ exists and is finite $= V_\infty$

But $\int_0^\infty \underbrace{e^2(t)}_1 dt = \int_0^\infty (\phi^T(t) w(t))^2 dt$
 $= \int_0^\infty -\frac{\dot{V}(\phi(t))}{\gamma} dt$

$$= \frac{V(\phi(\omega)) - V_\infty}{\delta} < \infty.$$

Then $e \in L_2$ ↓ ▣

(b) Consider $\dot{\phi} = \dot{\theta} = -\frac{\gamma e_1 w}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w}$ $\varepsilon_0 > 0, \gamma > 0$
 and $e_1 = \phi^T w$,
 (we have a normalized gradient). Assume

$w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is piecewise continuous and $e_1 = \phi^T w$.

Then (i) $\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w}} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$

(ii) $\phi \in L_\infty, \dot{\phi} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$

(iii) $\beta = \frac{\phi^T w}{1 + \|w_t\|_\infty} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$

(here $\|w_t\|_\infty = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,2n} |w_i(t)|$)

Proof. Let $v = \phi^T \dot{\phi}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \dot{V} &= 2 \phi^T \dot{\phi} = 2 \phi^T \left(\frac{-\gamma e_1 w}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w} \right) \\ &= \frac{-2\gamma \phi^T w w^T \phi}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w} = \frac{-2\gamma e_1^2}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $0 \leq V(\phi(t)) \leq V(\phi(\omega)), \forall t \geq 0.$

$v \in L_\infty$

ϕ satisfies $\|\phi(t)\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{2n} (\phi_\lambda(t))^2} \leq \sqrt{v(\phi(0))} \quad \forall t \geq 0$

$\Rightarrow \phi \in L_\infty$

$\frac{e_i}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W}}$ satisfies,

$\left| \frac{e_i(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}} \right| = \left| \frac{\phi(t)^T W(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}} \right|$

$= \frac{|\phi(t)^T W(t)|}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}}$

$\leq \frac{\|\phi(t)\|_2 \cdot \|W(t)\|_2}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}} \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz})$

$\leq \sqrt{v(\phi(0))} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}} \sqrt{\frac{W^T(t) W(t)}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} + W^T(t) W(t)}}$

$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}} \sqrt{v(\phi(0))}$

$\Rightarrow \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W}} \in L_\infty$

$\beta = \frac{\phi^T W}{1 + \|W_t\|_\infty}$ satisfies

$|\beta(t)| = \frac{|\phi(t)^T W(t)|}{1 + \max_i |W_i(t)|} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n} |\phi_j(t)| \frac{|W_j(t)|}{1 + \max_i |W_i(t)|}$
 $\leq \sum_{j=1}^{2n} |\phi_j(t)|$

$$\leq 2n \|\phi(t)\|_2 \leq 2n \sqrt{V(\phi(t))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \in L_\infty.$$

We have shown, $V, \phi, \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W}}, \beta$ all belong to L_∞ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \dot{\phi} &= \frac{-\gamma W e_i}{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W} \\ &= -\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \varepsilon_0 \frac{W W^T \phi}{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\dot{\phi}(t)\|_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \left\| \varepsilon_0 \frac{W(t) W(t)^T \phi(t)}{1 + \varepsilon_0 W(t)^T W(t)} \right\|_2$$

$$= \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon_0 W(t)^T W(t))} \cdot \varepsilon_0 \|W(t) W(t)^T \phi(t)\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Recall that } \|Ax\|_2 &= \sqrt{x^T A^T A x} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)} \sqrt{x^T x} \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)} \|x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } A &= W(t) W(t)^T & \lambda_{\max}(A^T A) \\ & &= \lambda_{\max}(W(t)^T W(t) W(t) W(t)^T) \\ & &= \lambda_{\max}\left(\left(W(t)^T W(t)\right) W(t) W(t)^T\right) \\ & &= \left(W(t)^T W(t)\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \| w(t) w^T(t) \phi(t) \|_2 \\ & \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\max} \left((w(t) w^T(t))^T (w(t) w^T(t)) \right)} \| \phi(t) \|_2 \\ & = \sqrt{(w^T(t) w(t))^2} \| \phi(t) \|_2 \\ & = w^T(t) w(t) \| \phi(t) \|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \| \dot{\phi}(t) \|_2 & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T(t) w(t))} (\varepsilon_0 w^T(t) w(t)) \| \phi(t) \|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \| \phi(t) \|_2 \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \sqrt{V(\phi(0))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\phi} \in L_\infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{e_1(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T(t) w(t)}} \right]^2 dt & = \int_0^\infty \frac{e_1^2(t)}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T(t) w(t)} dt \\ & = \int_0^\infty - \frac{\dot{V}(\phi(t))}{2\gamma} dt \\ & = \frac{V(\phi(0)) - V_\infty}{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

exists
and is finite

since $V(\phi(t))$ is
monotone decreasing &
bounded below.

Thus $\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W}} \in L_2$

We have thus far shown $\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T W}} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$.
(completes (i))

$$\beta(t) = \frac{\phi^T(t) W(t)}{1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} |W_i(t)|}$$

$$= \frac{\phi^T(t) W(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}}{1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} |W_i(t)|}$$

↑
This belongs to L_2
(see above)

↑
if we show this
belongs to L_∞
we are done.

Recall, in finite dimensions.

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty$$

Hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 \|W(t)\|_2^2}}{1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} |W_i(t)|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 \|W(t)\|_2^2}}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|W(t)\|_2}$$

$$f(y) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0 y^2}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} y\right)^2} = \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0 y^2}{1 + \frac{1}{n} y^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} y}$$

is continuous, positive on $y > 0$ and $\rightarrow n\varepsilon_0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. Pick a $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists Y > 0$ such that $\forall y > Y \Rightarrow f(y) < n\varepsilon_0 + \delta$.

On the other hand, on the compact set $[0, Y]$ $f(y)$ has a maximum f_{\max} due to continuity of $f(y)$. (Weierstrass's Theorem)

Hence $f(y) \leq \max(f_{\max}, n\varepsilon_0 + \delta)$
 $\forall y \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{f} \in L_\infty.$$

Thus we have shown

$$\beta \in L_2. \quad \text{Hence } \beta \in L_2 \cap L_\infty \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{complete} \\ \text{(iii)} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\phi}(t)\|_2^2 &= \frac{\gamma^2 e_1^2(t) W^T(t) W(t)}{\left(1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{e_1^2(t)}{\left(1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)\right)} \frac{\varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)}{\left(1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma^2}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{e_1^2(t)}{\left(1 + \varepsilon_0 W^T(t) W(t)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

We have already shown

$$\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w}} \in L_2$$

Hence $\dot{\phi} \in L_2$

Hence $\phi \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$ (complete (ii))

(c) Suppose $\dot{\phi} = \dot{\theta} = -\gamma e_1 w \quad \gamma > 0$
 $e_1 = \phi^T w + \varepsilon$

where $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially in t .

Suppose $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is piecewise continuous.

Then $e_1 \in L_2, \phi \in L_\infty$.

Proof: Define $V \triangleq \phi^T \phi + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_t^\infty \varepsilon^2(\sigma) d\sigma$

← bounded by hypothesis on $\varepsilon(t)$

Then
$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= 2\phi^T \dot{\phi} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \varepsilon^2(t) \\ &= 2\phi^T (-\gamma (\phi^T w + \varepsilon) w) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \varepsilon^2 \\ &= -2\gamma (\phi^T w)^2 - 2\gamma (\phi^T w) \varepsilon - \frac{\gamma}{2} \varepsilon^2 \\ &= -2\gamma \left(\phi^T w + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$0 \leq V$ and V is monotone decreasing with t . 23

$\Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} V(t)$ exists and is finite $= V_\infty$

$$\|\phi(t)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{V(t)} = \left(\|\phi(0)\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^\infty \varepsilon^2(\sigma) d\sigma \right)^{1/2}$$

$\Rightarrow \phi \in L_\infty$

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\phi^T(t) w(t) + \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{2} \right)^2 dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty - \frac{\dot{V}}{2\gamma} dt$$

$$= \frac{V(0) - V_\infty}{2\gamma} < \infty$$

Thus $\phi^T w + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \in L_2$

On the other hand $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \in L_2$

Hence $e_1 = \left(\phi^T w + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \in L_2$

(recall L_2 is a vector space). ▣

Least Squares Algorithm (with normalization and covariance resetting)

$$\dot{\phi} = \dot{\theta} = - \frac{\gamma P w e_t}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T w} \quad \gamma > 0 \quad \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

and

$$\dot{P} = - \frac{\gamma P w w^T P}{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T P w}$$

$$P(t_0) = P(t_r^+) = k_0 I > 0 \quad (\text{resetting})$$

where

$$t_r = \{t \mid \lambda_{\min}(P(t)) \leq k_1 < k_0\}.$$

Suppose $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is piecewise continuous. Then

$$(i) \quad \frac{e_t}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_0 w^T P w}} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$$

$$(ii) \quad \phi \in L_\infty, \quad \dot{\phi} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$$

$$(iii) \quad \beta = \frac{\phi^T w}{1 + \|w_t\|_\infty} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$$

PROOF: Homework Exercise.

Barbelet's lemma

$f(t)$ is uniformly continuous ^{on $[0, \infty)$} such that
 $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(\sigma) d\sigma$ exists and is finite.

Then $f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Corollary

If $g, \dot{g} \in L_\infty$ and $g \in L_p$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ then $g(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Proof: let $f(t) = |g(t)|^p$

Then g and \dot{g} bounded implies

f is uniformly continuous.

$g \in L_p \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(\sigma) d\sigma$ exists and is finite $= (\|g\|_p)^p$.

By Barbelet's lemma $f(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$\iff g(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Stability of the identifiers

Consider the identification problem for a linear, time-invariant, finite dimensional, strictly proper plant subject to a reference input signal $r(\cdot)$ which is piecewise continuous and bounded.

Assume that the plant is stable (all poles in the open l.h.p.) or located in a known linear feedback loop such that r and y the plant output are bounded. Then, for the gradient algorithms with or without normalization, or the least squares algorithm with normalization and resetting, the following hold:

- (i) $e, \dot{e} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$
- (ii) $e, \dot{e} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
- (iii) $\phi, \dot{\phi} \in L_\infty$
- (iv) $\dot{\phi} \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$
and $\dot{\phi} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof In properties (a)-(c) (pages 15-23) we have shown for the gradient and normalized gradient algorithms,
 $e, \dot{e} \in L_2$ and $\phi \in L_\infty$

r and y_p bounded $\Rightarrow w$ and \dot{w} bounded
 $\phi, \dot{\phi} \in L_\infty \Rightarrow e = \phi^T w$ and $\dot{e} = \dot{\phi}^T w + \phi^T \dot{w} \in L_\infty$.

$e_1 \in L_2$ and $\dot{e}_1, e_1 \in L_\infty$

$\Rightarrow e_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

(Barbalat's lemma/corollary).

Similarly

$\phi \in L_2$ and $\dot{\phi}, \phi \in L_\infty$

$\Rightarrow \dot{\phi}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ □